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#### Abstract

The first-person narrative of the Confession of Cyprian of Antioch, one of three principal witnesses to the fourth-century hagiographical romance of the pagan magician turned Christian bishop and martyr, has remained a largely unexploited resource for the study of late-antique Religionsgeschichte. The vivid details of Cyprian's occult curriculum vitae and public confession before the Christian audience of Antioch have languished in virtual obscurity due to the lack of a modern critical edition. This thesis offers a critical edition of the complete text of the Greek version, newly restored using previously unedited manuscript material. The introduction provides an overview of critical scholarship on the Confession, an examination of its sources and reception history, and an assessment of the manuscript evidence. The Greek text is accompanied by an English translation and explanatory notes.


## Résumé

Le récit à la première personne de la Confession de Cyprien d'Antioche, qui représente l'un des trois principaux témoins d'un roman hagiographique portant sur la vie de ce magicien païen du $\mathrm{Iv}^{\mathrm{e}}$ siècle, devenu évêque chrétien et martyr, demeure une ressource en grande partie inexploitée pour l'étude de la Religionsgeschichte de l'Antiquité tardive. Sans édition critique moderne, les détails saisissants du curriculum vitae occulte de Cyprien d'Antioche et sa confession publique devant l'auditoire chrétien d'Antioche sont demeurés pratiquement inconnus. Cette thèse propose une édition critique complète de la version grecque du texte, nouvellement restaurée grâce à l'utilisation de matériel scripturaire jusqu'ici inédit. L'introduction présente un survol de l'étude critique de la Confession, une analyse de ses sources et de l'histoire de la réception, de même qu'une évaluation critique des manuscrits. Le texte grec est accompagnée d'une traduction anglaise et de notes explicatives.
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Introduction

## 1. The Confession of Cyprian of Antioch

The Confession or Repentance ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́ v o t \alpha$ ) is one of three principal witnesses to the hagiographical romance of the fictional saint Cyprian of Antioch. The firstperson narrative of the Confession, a confessional autobiography which predates Augustine's Confessions by decades, ${ }^{1}$ originally circulated independently but was later inserted between the third-person narratives of the Conversion and the Martyrdom. The story concerns a pagan magician who, after numerous failed attempts to seduce the Christian virgin Justina through magic, realizes the power of Christ and converts to Christianity.

The text can be divided into four main sections. ${ }^{2}$ In the first main section (chs. 1-7) Cyprian narrates the course of his religious history from early childhood to adulthood. Cyprian is dedicated to Apollo as a child, joins the Mithraic mysteries, participates in the Stepterion and the rites of Eleusis, and spends an initiatory period on Mount Olympus (chs. 1-2). He then travels to Memphis and is initiated in the Egyptian adyta where he is privileged to visions of the variegated forms of demonic grotesquerie (chs. 3-4). After learning the mysteries of the Chaldaeans, Cyprian's truly impressive occult curriculum vitae culminates in an encounter with the devil himself (ch. 5-6). Cyprian then explains the mechanics of sacrifice as the means by which the devil and his demons empower themselves (ch. 7).

In the second main section (chs. 8-14) the philosopher-magician Cyprian takes up shop in Antioch, where, while offering his services to the populace, he meets a young man named Aglaïdas who is enamored with the Christian virgin Justina (ch. 9). Cyprian tells the story of his attempts to seduce Justina through erotic and demonic magic, first on behalf of Aglaïdas and then on behalf of himself, as he too falls in love with the virgin. However, neither the phalanx of demons Cyprian sends to her door nor the dragon are able to enter her vestibule

[^0](chs. 8 and 10). Even the devil himself tries to defeat Justina, but all of his attempts, like those of Cyprian, the dragon, and the demons, are thwarted by the sign of the cross (chs. 11-12). Cyprian realizes that the devil is powerless against the cross of Christ, renounces the devil, and seeks solace among the Christians of Antioch (chs. 12-14).

The third main section (chs. 15-20) contains Cyprian's lengthy and lurid public confession of past misdeeds such as ripping open pregnant women and sacrificing their children, decapitating foreigners, committing pederasty, sinking ships, toppling over churches and tearing churchgoers to pieces, and making jokes about prayer (chs. 15-16, 18). Much of this section consists of Cyprian's various lamentations over his dim prospects of obtaining forgiveness, since according to Cyprian he surpassed in impiety even the Egyptian magicians Jannes and Jambres who battled against Moses (chs. 17, 19-20).

In the fourth main section (chs. 21-28) the presbyter Eusebius responds to Cyprian's confession. He accepts Cyprian's confession and tells him that Christ will accept him because he not only acted out of ignorance but was acted upon by the devil (chs. 21-22). ${ }^{3}$ Eusebius provides Cyprian with a number of examples of biblical figures who were received by God after turning from their evil ways (chs. 23-25). After Eusebius explains the nature of Christian education and worship, Cyprian joins the Christian community in Antioch, burns his magical books, and receives baptism (chs. 26-28).

### 1.1 AUTHOR, DATE, AND PURPOSE

Although there remains a possibility that there were three eastern martyrs named Cyprian, Justina, and Theoctistus whose relics were translated from Nicomedia to Caelian Hill in Rome during the fourth century, the entire hagiographical romance of Cyprian of Antioch, the pagan magician turned Christian bishop and martyr, has been widely regarded as pure fiction. ${ }^{4}$ In addition to the fact that no bishop

[^1]named Cyprian appears in the well-known lists of bishops of Antioch, ${ }^{5}$ sourcecriticism of the legend has shown conclusively that neither the plot nor even the majority of the names of the characters in the legend are original, but were borrowed from other literary works. ${ }^{6}$ The real author of the Confession, therefore, must remain anonymous. The author was clearly well-read, as evinced by the numerous influences from Greco-Roman novels, the apocryphal acts of the apostles, and Jewish-Christian pseudepigrapha, but his style is often rather clumsy and it is certain based on stylistic grounds that this author was not one and the same as the author of the Conversion and the Martyrdom (see section 2).

The date of the Confession can be fixed with certainty. In his panegyric on Cyprian of Carthage delivered in 379 c.e. Gregory Nazianzus confused the historical bishop of Carthage with the legendary magician of Antioch and it is clear that the story outlined by Gregory was derived from the text of the Confession (see section 3.1). The Confession, then, was probably written ca. 350370 c.e., but no later than 379 C.E. ${ }^{7}$ A date towards the middle of this spectrum is reasonable since the author of the Confession assumes a background story similar to the one that appears in what must be the earlier narrative of the Conversion.

This date fits perfectly with what is no doubt the main purpose of the text, which is almost certainly a product of the pagan revival of the latter half of the
pure fiction; J. Coman ("Le deux Cyprien de S. Gregoire de Nazianze," in Studia Patristica Vol. IV: Papers Presented to the Third International Conference on Patristic Studies Held at Christ Church, Oxford, 1959 [ed. F. L. Cross; TUGAL 79; Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1961], 363-72) has defended the historicity of both Cyprian and certain aspects of the legend, although his arguments for the latter are unconvincing. Whether Cyprian of Antioch is considered a historical figure martyred in 304 C.E. or not, he was not the author of the Confession. As a result of the lack of historical veracity to the legend, both Cyprian and Justina were removed from the list of saints by the Vatican in 1968.
${ }^{5}$ Theodor Zahn, Cyprian von Antiochien und die deutsche Faustsage (Erlangen: A. Deichert, 1882), 84-85; H. Delehaye, "Cyprien d'Antioche et Cyprien de Carthage," AnBoll 39 (1921): 322; A. Krestan and A. Hermann, "Cyprianus II (Magier)," RAC 3 (1957): 467.
${ }^{6}$ See esp. the analyses by Richard Reitzenstein, "Cyprian der Magier," Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Philologisch-historische Klasse (1917): 38-79; idem, "Zu Cyprian der Magier," AR 20 (1920-1921): 236-37 and Ludwig Radermacher, "Cyprian der Magier," AR 21 (1922): 233-35; idem, Griechische Quellen zur Faustsage: Der Zauberer Cyprianus, die Erzählung des Helladius, Theophilus (Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, Philologisch-historische Klasse 206.4; Leipzig: Tempsky, 1927), 5-41; cf. Zahn, Cyprian von Antiochien, 106-15.
${ }^{7}$ All scholars have unanimously followed Zahn (Cyprian von Antiochien, 104) in assigning the rough date of 350 C.E.
fourth century. ${ }^{8}$ Unlike the Conversion or the Martyrdom, the Confession is clearly directed at pagan audience as is apparent from its incipit which addresses "all you who take offence ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa$ ó $\pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ) at the mysteries of Christ" ( $1: 1$ ). ${ }^{9}$ The lengthy history of Cyprian's occult training in various pagan mystery-religions, told in what seems to be deliberately obscure terms, is meant to firmly establish Cyprian as a pagan holy man par excellence. But for all his knowledge, power, and experience, Cyprian is unable to overcome one Christian virgin and in the end converts to Christianity, with the inevitable conclusion that the devil is at work behind all pagan religions. The polemic against sacrifice in Conf. 7:5-10 is particularly telling as it is the only point in the text where Cyprian says that he will actually explain some of his secret knowledge (7:5). Cyprian explains that it is the кvio $\alpha$ of burnt-offerings by which the devil obtains the means to empower himself and supply his demonic cohorts with their forms. ${ }^{10}$ Pagan sacrifice was a particularly vexing issue for Christians during this period since one of the first acts of Julian as Augustus in 362 C.E. was to rescind Emperor Constantius's prohibition made in 341 C.E. against pagan cult sacrifice. ${ }^{11}$

[^2]The Confession still remains a largely unexploited resource for the study of lateantique Religionsgeschichte. In 1846 Ludwig Preller first noted its potential historical value and made its contents more widely available by reprinting the Greek text of its initial chapters. ${ }^{12}$ His brief note eventually succeeded in inciting several more short studies by a number of prominent scholars such as Arthur Bernhard Cook, Arthur Darby Nock, Martin P. Nilsson, André-Jean Festugière, and Charles Picard. ${ }^{13}$ However, all of these studies concentrated exclusively on Cyprian's early childhood initiations into various Greco-Roman mystery-cults (Conf. 1-2). The material concerning Cyprian's initiations and often bizarre experiences and visions in Egypt and Chaldaea have remained virtually untouched (Conf. 3-5). ${ }^{14}$ A couple of examples will suffice to give a sense of the nature of the historical kernels contained in the Confession.

According to Conf. 1:5 Cyprian joined the Mithraic mysteries when he was only seven years old. Membership in the mysteries of Mithras was on the whole exclusively reserved for adult males. ${ }^{15}$ However, that children were sometimes admitted to the mysteries in the late fourth century is attested by an inscription from Rome ( 376 c.e.) which states that Aurelius Victor Augentius, a Mithraic Pater-perhaps a Pater of several Mithraic communities given his epithet Pater Patrum-known from four other inscriptions (CIMRM 400-405) is said to have bestowed the initiatory grade of Raven (hierocoracica) upon his own son, a boy

[^3]named Emilianus Corfinius Olympius. ${ }^{16}$ But this is the only epigraphic evidence for childhood initiation and one could argue that in this instance an exception to the rule was made for the son of a high-ranking initiate of the Mithraic mysteries. While it is certainly possible that the author of the Confession was aware of one or more similar cases of childhood initiation in Mithraism, one must constantly be aware that the author's primary purpose in these initial chapters is to bolster the prestige of Cyprian.

An example of the author's descent into the realms of incredibility is the reference in Conf. 1:5 to Cyprian's childhood role as $\delta \alpha \delta$ oṽo $\mathcal{1}$ in the Eleusinian mysteries ( $\varepsilon \delta \alpha \delta \delta o ́ \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \sim \tilde{\eta} \Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \alpha)$. Cyprian simply could not have held such a post because the $\delta \alpha \delta$ oũ $\chi$ ○ $\varsigma$ was drawn exclusively from the family of Kerykes. ${ }^{17}$ Immediately following this remark, however, Cyprian mentions that he submitted to the white sorrow of Korē. Preller considered the phrase $\lambda \varepsilon u \kappa o ̀ v ~ \pi \varepsilon ́ v \theta o s ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~$ contradiction and corrupt text as a result, but Nock has correctly described it as an authentic antiquarian reference to the custom implemented by Herodes Atticus in which the Ephibi wore white garments instead of black in the procession to Eleusis. ${ }^{18}$ The child Cyprian, however, would not have been allowed to participate in this procession. Although the Confession should be considered as a legitimate
${ }^{16}$ Franz Cumont, Textes et monuments figurés relatifs aux mystères de Mithra ( 2 vols.; Brussels: Lamartin, 1896-1899), 2:93 no. 10 (= M. J. Vermaseren, Corpus Inscriptionum et Monumentorum Religionis Mithriacae [2 vols.; The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1956-1960], 1:172 no. 403): D(ominis) n(ostris) Valente V et Valentiniano / iuniore primum aug(ustus) VI idus april(es) / tradidit hierocoracica Aur(elius) Victor / Augentius v(ir) c(larissimus) p(ater) p(atrum) filio suo Emiliano / Corfini(o) Olympio c(larissimo) p(uero) anno tricensimo / acceptionis suae felic(iter). Regarding the inscription Vermaseren adds that "[i]t is very remarkable that at the end of the fourth century children could be admitted in the mysteries also"; cf. Nock, "Cyprian of Antioch," 411. However, since the Confession is a fictional account, Franz Cumont ("The Dura Mithraeum," in Mithraic Studies: Proceedings of the First International Congress of Mithraic Studies [ed. John R. Hinnells; 2 vols.; Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1975], 1:159) considered this reference to be an "equivocal indication of the introduction of the Persian cult in the populous capital of Antioch" (so Ernest Will, "Noveaux monuments sacrés de la Syrie romaine," Syria 29 [1952]: 69). Cumont and Will are right to doubt the historical significance of the passage, but Cyprian is in Athens at this point and does not move to Antioch until much later in the text (Conf. 9:1). For the evidence of Mithraism in Greece, see CIMRM nos. 2346-2353.
${ }^{17}$ See Kevin Clinton, "The Sacred Officials of the Eleusinian Mysteries," Transactions of the American Philosophical Society 64 (1974): 47-68. Nock ("Cyprian of Antioch," 411) also suggested that if Cyprian had a role in the mysteries it would have been as $\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \varphi$ ' $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i \alpha \varsigma$, a position that could be held by any Athenian child; see Clinton, "The Sacred Officials," 98-114; Katherine Esdaile, "ó $\dot{\alpha} \varphi$ ' $\varepsilon \sigma \tau i \alpha c$ : Two Statues of a Boy Celebrating the Eleusinian Mysteries," JHS 29 (1909): 1-5.
${ }^{18}$ Preller, "Beiträge," 350; Nock, "Cyprian of Antioch," 411.
source for the history of late-antique religion, its references to pagan cults and concepts must be taken with a grain of salt since the author, even though he is referencing genuine fourth-century mysteries and ideas, is more concerned with the creation of a pagan holy man par excellence than with historicity. ${ }^{19}$ But that this should be the case in an early Christian work of fiction is hardly surprising.

## 2. Some Sources of the Confession

The first-person narrative of the Confession originally circulated independently but was later inserted between the third-person narratives of the Conversion and the Martyrdom. ${ }^{20}$ The Conversion begins by recounting the conversion of the virgin Justina, who, after hearing the sermons of the deacon Praylius from her window, goes together with her parents to the bishop Optatus and receives baptism. A wealthy man named Aglaïdas notices her during her frequent trips to and from the church and after his advances fail he approaches Cyprian the magician and pays him two talents to win her over by magical means. Cyprian conjures three demons-the second more powerful than the first, the third being the father of all demons-and sends them to Justina's apartment. Each attempt is unsuccessful as a result of Justina's prayers and her use of the sign of the cross. Convinced of the power of Christ, Cyprian converts and eventually works his way up through the ecclesiastical hierarchy becoming bishop of Antioch. ${ }^{21}$

[^4]Continuing where the Conversion left off, the Martyrdom tells of Eutolmius,
Count of the region of the East, who after hearing of the miraculous deeds of Cyprian and Justina has them arrested and brought to Damascus where they are tortured and thrown into boiling pitch. Since the saints survive the torments of Eutolmius unscathed, they are then sent to Nicomedia where Diocletian condemns them to death by decapitation. After six days their bodies, along with a man named Theoctistus who was decapitated along with them for saluting Cyprian, are then brought to Rome and given an honorable burial. ${ }^{22}$

From a literary perspective the Confession is a rather clumsy piece. Characters appear unannounced, settings change spontaneously, and there is very little if any significant background information. Theodor Zahn has shown convincingly that the Conversion and the Martyrdom were written by the same author and that this

118, 133, 146-147, 172; Città del Vaticano: Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, 1944-1953], 1:517). Radermacher (Griechische Quellen 73-149) divided the Greek texts into three recensions: for the first recension there is only Par. gr. 1468; for the second recension there are Sinaiticus 497, Par. gr. 1454, Laud. gr. 68, Vat. gr. 866, and Pal. gr. 68; for the third there is only Barb. gr. 517. For the Latin manuscripts, see the Bollandists' Bibliotheca hagiographica Latina antiquae et medieae aetatis (2 vols.; Subsidia Hagiographica 6; Brussels: Société des Bollandistes, 1898-1901), 1:3089 nos. 2047-2048; Henricus Fros, Bibliotheca hagiographica Latina antiquae et medieae aetatis: Novum supplementum (Subsidia Hagiographica 70; Brussels: Société des Bollandists, 1986), 239 nos. 2047-2048b-d; see also the brief analysis and comparison with the Latin text of the Confession by Danielle van Mal-Maeder, "Moi, Cyprien d'Antioche, magicien du diable," in Mirabilia - Conceptions et représentations de l'extra-ordinaire dans le monde antique: Actes du colloque international, Lausanne, 20-22 mars 2003 (ed. Olivier Bianchi and Olivier Thévenaz; Echo: Collection de l'Institut d'archéologie et des sciences de l'Antiquité de l'Université de Lausanne 4; Bern: Lang, 2004), 115-30. For versions in other languages, see Paul Peeters, Bibliotheca hagiographica orientalis (Subsidia Hagiographica 10; Brussels: Société des Bollandists, 1910), 53-54 nos. 228-230.
${ }^{22}$ For summaries of the Martyrdom, see Delehaye, "Cyprian d'Antioche," 319-20; Sabattini, "S. Cipriano," 187-88. For Greek manuscripts of the Martyrdom (or Passion), see Halkin, Bibliotheca hagiographica Graeca, 138-39 nos. 454-455; idem, Auctarium, 54 no. 455; idem, Novum auctarium, 57 no. 455. Gibson (Apocrypha Arabica, 72-78) printed the Greek text of Sinaiticus 497 along with an Arabic version. For the Latin manuscripts, see Bibliotheca hagiographica Latina, 1:309 nos. 2050-2051; Fros, BHL: Novum supplementum, 239 no. 20502051a. On manuscripts in other languages, see Peeters, Bibliotheca hagiographica orientalis, 5455 no. 232; this entry must be corrected as the entry concerning the Syriac version of the Conversion also applies to the Martyrdom (cf. Victor Ryssel, "Der Urtext der Cyprianuslegende," ASNSL 110 (1903): 273-311) and it must also be supplemented with the Ethiopic version published by Edgar J. Goodspeed ("The Martyrdom of Cyprian and Justa," AJSL 19 [1903]: 6582) and a second Coptic version, Pier. Morg. M609 fols. $93^{v}-100^{v}$, edited by Friedrich Bilabel ("Studien zu Kyprian dem Magier," in Griechische, koptische und arabische Texte zur Religion und religiösen Literatur in Ägyptens Spätzeit [ed. Friedrich Bilabel and Adolf Grohmann; Veröffentlichungen aus den badischen Papyrus-Sammlungen 5; Heidelberg: Verlag der Universitätsbibliothek, 1934], 146-60).
author did not write the Confession. ${ }^{23}$ However, the Confession assumes a background story such as that found in the Conversion and must have been written at a later date (there are, however, no signs that indicate that the author was aware of the Martydom). But even though the Confession appears at least in part dependent on the Conversion, there are numerous differences between the two narratives, for which reason Zahn suggested the existence of a now lost source other than the Conversion. ${ }^{24}$ The author of the Confession may have simply deviated from the details of the Conversion either for literary purposes or to suit a different audience. The Confession was probably intended as a supplement; I see no need to view it as an incomplete work or to suggest a lost introduction. ${ }^{25}$

### 2.1 THE APOCRYPHAL ACTS OF THE APOSTLES

The apocryphal acts of the apostles played a significant role in the development of the legend of Cyprian. The portrayal of the virgin Justina's conversion in the Conversion so closely resembles the conversion of the virgin Thecla in the Acts of Paul and Thecla that there can be little doubt that the author of the former used the latter as a source. ${ }^{26}$ The story of Justina's conversion does not appear in the
${ }^{23}$ Zahn, Cyprian von Antiochien, 73-85; cf. Delehaye, "Cyprien d'Antioche," 320.
${ }^{24}$ Zahn, Cyprian von Antiochien, 76-79. Some of the differences are the following: in the Confession none of the demons succeed in penetrating the apartment of Justina, but in the Conversion each of the demons enter; in the Conversion Cyprian burns his magical books before going to see the bishop Anthimus, in the Confession he does so after he goes to Eusebius; in the Conversion Cyprian works his way up the ecclesiastical ranks and becomes bishop of Antioch, and in the Confession there is no reference to any ecclesiastical role for Cyprian; in the Conversion Aglaïdas disappears after the demonic attacks on Justina have failed and in the Confession he converts along with Cyprian; cf. Delehaye, "Cyprien d’Antioch," 321 . It seems more sensible to suggest that the Conversion was an oral rather than a written source for the author of the Confession.
${ }^{25}$ Reitzenstein, "Cyprian der Magier," 50; Delehaye, "Cyprien d'Antioche," 320. The incipit of the Confession as it now stands is, to my mind, satisfactory as an introduction for a pagan audience. Since both Reitzenstein ("Cyprian der Magier," $50-51$ n. 3) and Delehaye ("Cyprien d'Antioche," 316-17 with n. 1) favored the then hypothetical Greek variant $\pi \rho о к$ óл $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (now an actual variant from Vat. gr. 797) rather than $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ as an introductory address to those advancing in the mysteries of Christ rather than to those offended by the mysteries of Christ, the force of the incipit as an introduction was missed (see note 9). The incipit of the Conversion is expressly Christian: "When our Saviour, Jesus Christ appeared on earth from heaven and the words of the prophets were fulfilled, the whole world was enlightened with the word and, believing in God, the Father Almighty, and in our Lord Jesus Christ, was baptized in the Holy Ghost" (trans. Philip Mason Palmer and Robert Pattison More, The Sources of the Faust Tradition: From Simon Magus to Lessing [New York: Oxford University Press, 1936], 42-43).
${ }^{26}$ See Radermacher, Griechische Quellen, 16-17. The similarity may have sparked Gregory Nazianzus's reference to Thecla in Or. 24.10; cf. Carl Schlau Die Acten des Paulus und der

Confession, but the account of Cyprian's attempts to win the love of Justina through erotic magic is retained, although it appears in a form radically different from that found in the Conversion. Gilles Quispel has suggested that this plot was originally derived from the Acts of Anárew, ${ }^{27}$ which contains several parallels to the Cyprianic legend: a reference is made to the magician's lengthy period of training ${ }^{28}$; the magician, after seeing a virgin go up to her roof to pray, sends demons against her; the demons, who also seem to be disguised (the text says that "they acted like her brother") like the demon in the Conversion who is disguised as a maiden, knock on the virgin's door; the virgin prays and the demons flee. ${ }^{29}$ Quispel is right to designate the Acts of Andrew as a source for this plot, but it is not the only source, especially for the plot as it appears in the Confession.

In the Conversion the three demons are conjured consecutively, each of them returning from Justina's apartment unsuccessfully. The Confession is much less structured and staccato; here Cyprian sends the entire phalanx of demons entrusted to him by the devil to the door of Justina (Conf. 9:10). ${ }^{30}$ This phalanx of demons is accompanied by the dragon, a character that is common to the apocryphal acts and totally absent from the Conversion. The dragon in the Confession, as in the apocryphal acts, is a symbol of sexual desire. The dragon in Acts of Thomas 31-33 is enamored with a beautiful woman and kills her lover; similarly the dragon kills Fortunatus in the necrophilia scene in Drusiana's tomb in Acts of John 63-86. ${ }^{31}$ In these cases, however, the dragon punishes sexual ${ }^{\text {. }}$

Thecla und die ältere Thecla-legende: Ein Beitrag zur christlichen Literaturgeschichte (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1877), 37-38. Radermacher (Griechische Quellen, 26-29) also suggested compositional dependencies of the Conversion on the Acts of Peter and Paul and the Acts of Thomas.
${ }^{27}$ Gilles Quispel, "Faust: Symbol of Western Man," in Gnostic Studies (2 vols.; Uitgaven van het Nederlands Historisch-Archaeologisch Instituut te İstanbul 34.1-2; Istanbul: Nederlands Historisch-Archaeologisch Instituut in het Nabije Oosten, 1974-1975), 2:297.
${ }^{28}$ Noted by Jan N. Bremmer, "Man, Magic, and Martyrdom in the Acts of Andrew," in The Apocryphal Acts of Andrew (ed. Jan N. Bremmer; Studies on the Apocryphal Acts of the Apostles 5; Leuven: Peeters, 2000), 25.
${ }^{29}$ This portion of the Acts of Andrew is preserved only in Coptic, see Gilles Quispel, "An Unknown Fragment of the Acts of Andrew (Pap. Copt. Utrecht N. 1)," VC 10 (1956): 129-48.
${ }^{30}$ Cyprian does summon a demon, but not to attack Justina. The demon of fornication is disguised as Justina and sent to Aglaïdas so that he stops demanding the services of Cyprian and the devil; the demon, however, loses his disguise when Aglaïdas shouts the name of Justina (cf. Conf. 10:4; 11:1).
${ }^{31}$ See Tamás Adamik, "The Serpent in the Acts of Thomas," in The Apocryphal Acts of Thomas (ed. Jan N. Bremmer; Studies on Early Christian Apocrypha 6; Leuven: Peeters, 2001),
deviance, whereas in the Confession the dragon attempts to incite it. There is also a strong resemblance to Acts of Philip 9 where the dragon is similarly defeated through prayer and the sign of the cross.

### 2.2 THE FIGURE OF THE MAGICIAN IN THE GRECO-ROMAN NOVEL

Perhaps more significant than the value of the Confession for the study of lateantique Religionsgeschichte is the value of its literary depiction of a late-antique magician. Although the Confession was obviously written from a Christian perspective, its graphic representation of the magician Cyprian closely parallels the literary portrayals of magicians typical of the era. Greco-Roman novels such as Philostratus's Life of Apollonius undoubtedly represent a second main body of literature from which the author of the Confession pulled material. As in the tales of many magicians and holy men, Cyprian travels far and wide to increase his own magical capabilities seeking initiation into exotic mysteries. Cyprian, like Eucrates in Lucian's Philopseudes 34 and Jesus, according to Celsus (Origen, Cels. 1.38; cf. Arnobius, Adv. nat. 43), travels to Egypt to learn magic and, like Apollonius of Tyana (Philostratus, Vit. Apoll. 1.25), journeys to the land of the Chaldaeans. ${ }^{32}$ In Greco-Roman novels, as in the Confession, such voyages and initiatory periods were meant to enhance the prestige of the holy man. ${ }^{33}$

Whereas Quispel identified the Acts of Andrew as the source for the plot concerning Cyprian's erotic magic, Radermacher pointed to a short narrative in Lucian's Philopseudes 14 in which the Peripatetic Cleodemus tells his friends about a Hyperborean magician, who is, like Cyprian, able to fly through the air

[^5]and walk on water (Philops. 13; Conf. 18:11). ${ }^{34}$ In the tale, Cleodemus introduces Glaucias, who is at wit's end over his love affair with Chrysis, to the Hyperborean magician, who for four minas fashions a little Cupid out of clay and sends it to the house of Chrysis; shortly thereafter Chyrsis knocks at the door of Glaucias and embraces him. No single source is directly responsible for the plot as it appears in the legend of Cyprian; it is probable given the similar tale told by Epiphanius (Pan. 30.5.1-11.7) that stories of this type were prevalent in late antiquity.

The author of the Confession has succeeded in creating a villainous magician of Cyprian in his pre-conversion years comparable to Lucan's witch Erictho. Indeed, Cyprian begins his public confession by stating that he, much like Erictho and probably also for necromantic purposes, ripped open pregnant women and sacrificed their children (Conf. 15:3). ${ }^{35}$ Many of the references to necromantic practices were influenced by other literary depictions of necromancy and have either little or no bearing on actual necromantic practices.

### 2.3 THE PSEUDEPIGRAPHON JANNES AND JAMBRES

In the Decretum Gelasianum (sixth century C.E.) the Confession (where it is titled Poenitentia sancti Cypriani) is labeled as an apocryphal treatise together with three other poenitentiae, one of which is the pseudepigraphon Jannes and Jambres (titled Poenitentia Iamne et Mambre in the Decretum). ${ }^{36}$ Because of the proximity of these two texts in the Decretum Gelasianum, the commonality of their titles, and the similarity of their subject matter (the misdeeds of deviant magicians), M. R. James was led to believe that the contents of the Confession could help flesh out the literary lacunae of the fragmentary pseudepigraphon. Prompted further by the two allusions to the two Egyptian brothers in the

[^6]Confesson ( $6: 6 ; 17: 5-7$ ), James even went so far as to consider it "far from unlikely that the Penitence of Jannes and Jambres has furnished a model" for the Confession. ${ }^{37}$ James speculated that Jambres, at what appears to be the end of the pseudepigraphon where he conjures the shade of his dead brother Jannes up from Hades, actually heeds his brother's words of warning and repents. ${ }^{38}$

In the first of these two passages the devil praises Cyprian as "well-grown ( $\varepsilon \cup \cup \varphi \cup \tilde{\eta})$, a lad ( $\mu \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha ́ \kappa ı \nu$ ), a young Jambres, well-strung for service, worthy of association with that one" (Conf. 6:6). ${ }^{39}$ Of the great number of references to Jannes and Jambres in secondary sources this is the only instance in which Jambres is mentioned by himself. In reference to James's speculations, Albert Pietersma has suggested that because of this unique reference the author of the Confession must have been aware of a tradition in which Jambres enjoyed a status distinct from his brother. ${ }^{40}$ But this interpretation stems from an unnecessary correction to the text by Prudentius Maran as well as from reading the passage out of context. Maran corrected $\varepsilon \forall ̛ \varphi v \tilde{\eta}$ to $\varepsilon \cup \cup \varphi v \varepsilon ́ \varsigma ~ t o ~ a g r e e ~ w i t h ~ \mu \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha ́ \kappa ı v, ~ w h i c h ~$ Pieterma has translated as "a clever lad." ${ }^{41}$ But the correction is both unwarranted and lessens the force of $\mu \varepsilon 1 \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \kappa 10 v$, a term which is used to refer to someone

[^7]around or under twenty years of age. Earlier in the text, however, when Cyprian leaves for Chaldaea, we learn that he is thirty years old (Conf. 5:4). When the
 contemptuous sense. ${ }^{42}$ The devil is essentially telling Cyprian that he is wellgrown, but still a mere lad, and it is only in this context that the devil's imperative
 isolated Jambres not because he was aware of some tradition in which Jambres enjoyed a career independent of his brother, ${ }^{43}$ but rather merely because Jambres was the lesser of the two brothers.

In the second passage Cyprian laments over the prospect that he will not be forgiven for his ungodliness since he outdid Jannes and Jambres, who at least acknowledged God when Cyprian did not. Cyprian then asks, "If God did not pardon those ones even though they recognized him in part, how will he pardon me, who did not recognize him at all?" (Conf. 17:7). The Confession states rather emphatically that the Egyptian magicians did not obtain forgiveness. This is also attested in the psuedepigraphon where the shade of Jannes tells his brother Jambres, "but now there is no forgiveness for us" (JanJam $23 f^{v}$ ). If Jambres did enjoy an independent career in the story, then it was probably not as a penitent. ${ }^{44}$

[^8]The Confession was likely not modeled upon Jannes and Jambres, ${ }^{45}$ and not even in a superficial sense, but there can be little doubt that author of the Confession was familiar with the text of Jannes and Jambres ${ }^{46}$ as well as other pseudepigrapha, most notably the Testament of Solomon. ${ }^{47}$

## 3. Reception History

The popularity of the legend of Cyprian of Antioch gave rise to a rich and varied body of literature which is in many ways comparable to the trajectory of magical literature surrounding the biblical figure Solomon. Much of this literature is expressly magical in nature, from one particularly lengthy erotic spell preserved in Coptic, ${ }^{48}$ to the large number of exorcistic and apotropaic prayers and amulets which have survived in various languages, ${ }^{49}$ to medieval grimoires such as the

[^9]Secreta Cypriani and the Art of Cyprian. ${ }^{50}$ However, much of this later literature attributed to Cyprian does not derive specifically from the text of the Confession, but rather from the Cyprianic tradition as a whole. ${ }^{51}$ The amalgamations of the Conversion and the Martyrdom into single vitae by both Symeon Metaphrastes and Jacobus de Voragine (Legenda aurea 142) were particularly influential. ${ }^{52}$ The plot of Calderón's drama El mágico prodigioso was based on the version in the Legenda aurea and the story of Cyprian in the Conversion is considered one the earliest forerunners, if not the earliest, to Goethe's Faust. ${ }^{53}$ There is also a

[^10]Portuguese grimoire attributed to St. Cyprian which exists in several different versions that is infamous in modern day Portugal. ${ }^{54}$

### 3.1 GREGORY NAZIANZUS, ORATION 24

On 3 October, 379 c.e. in Constantinople Gregory Nazianzus delivered his panegyric in honor of St. Cyprian of Carthage in which he famously confused the historical bishop of Carthage who was martyred under Valerian in 258 C.E. with the fictitious Cyprian of Antioch who was allegedly martyred under Diocletian in 304 C.E. ${ }^{55}$ After praising the erudition of Cyprian-who is clearly identified as the historical bishop of Carthage (Or. 24.6)-Gregory goes on to tell the story of Cyprian's former life and eventual conversion (Or. 24.8-12); the story Gregory tells, however, is the story of a pagan sorcerer who employs demonic magic in his attempts to seduce a Christian virgin. Gregory's obvious confusion of the two Cyprians is of crucial importance for dating the Confession since a number of elements in Gregory's discourse point specifically to the Confession as his source.
 demons, sorcery as the trademark of Cyprian's nefarious activities, and Cyprian's appetite for carnal pleasure (24.8), Gregory refers to Cyprian's personal infatuation with an unnamed virgin (24.9), a theme which is found only in the Confession. ${ }^{56}$ Theodor Zahn has gone so far as to suggest that Gregory can only have become aware of the legendary Cyprian through the text of the Confession for the reason that the Conversion and the Martyrdom, unlike the Confession, both

[^11]unequivocally identify Cyprian as bishop of Antioch-had Gregory been aware of this attribution, presumably, he would not have conflated the two Cyprians. ${ }^{57} 379$ C.E., then, represents the terminus ante quem for the Confession, which was likely composed sometime after the Conversion.

Scholars have been at a loss to explain how exactly such a learned person as Gregory could have made such an egregious error and have postulated a now lost biography on Cyprian of Carthage in which this conflation of two Cyprians already existed as the source of Gregory's confusion. ${ }^{58}$ Few have realized, however, that the day on which this panegyric was delivered, 3 October, was one day after the oriental feast day of St. Cyprian of Antioch; Gregory actually confused the legendary Cyprian of Antioch with the historical bishop of Carthage and not the other way around. ${ }^{59}$

### 3.2 PRUDENTIUS, PERISTEPHANON 13

Although the Spanish poet Prudentius was most likely unaware of Gregory's panegyric on Cyprian of Carthage, in his poetic portrayal of the life and martyrdom of the famous bishop of Carthage, composed in the late fourth or early fifth century, he seems to succumb to the same confusion. ${ }^{60}$ However, whereas a substantial amount of Gregory's oration can be connected to the legend of

[^12]Cyprian of Antioch, only four lines of Prudentius's poem pertain to the fictional Cyprian: "He was pre-eminent among young men for skill in perverse arts, would violate modesty by a trick, count nothing holy, and often practise a magic spell amid the tombs to raise passion in a wife and break the law of wedlock" (Per. 13.21-24). ${ }^{61}$ Although there are some glaring differences, many have uncritically connected this passage directly to the legend of Cyprian of Antioch. ${ }^{62}$ But Prudentius refers to married women rather than a Christian virgin and to the spirits of the dead in their tombs rather than demons. These features are present in the Confession but are certainly peripheral to the main plot, and it would be odd indeed if Prudentius had singled out such passing remarks. ${ }^{63}$

It is much more difficult to explain the conflation of Cyprians in Prudentius since the passage does not point directly to a source concerning Cyprian of Antioch. Reitzenstein's postulation of a lost biography was intended to explain the similar conflations of both Gregory and Prudentius simultaneously; according to this hypothesis the differences in their respective conflations are explained away as a result of Prudentius's isolation to this bare bones biography as a source and Gregory's supplementation of this same biography with the text of the Confession. ${ }^{64}$ Attractive as this theory may be, it unfortunately cannot be proven. John Petruccione's alternative that Prudentius's source may have been a Latin translation of Gregory's panegyric on Cyprian is also mere speculation. ${ }^{65}$ The

[^13]source of these lines in Prudentius was undoubtedly the legend of Cyprian, but whereas Gregory was influenced by the legend directly, Prudentius, in whatever manner, was influenced indirectly.

### 3.3 EUDOCIA, DE SANCTO CYPRIANO

Around the middle of the fifth century the Byzantine empress Eudocia reworked all three Cyprianic texts, Conversion, Confession, and Martyrdom, into one epic poem in hexameter verse. ${ }^{66}$ Unfortunately her metaphrasis has survived only in part. In addition to the 322 hexameters which have survived from the part which corresponds to the Conversion, Claudio Bevegni discovered and published 99 hexameters from the beginning of the text; 479 hexameters have survived from the part which corresponds to the Confession. ${ }^{67}$ None of the verses which correspond to the Martyrdom have survived, but their existence is verified by Photius (Bibliotheca 184), who gave a summary of all three parts. ${ }^{68}$

Photius (Bibliotheca 183) praises the poetic verse of Eudocia's paraphrase of the Octateuch and commends her for not using poetic license to digress from main themes and for accurately following the text without expansion or abridgement. Photius's praise of her Greek verse seems somewhat unwarranted, however, as it
have learned the story of Cyprian of Antioch during a visit to the shrine of Cyprian in Rome is no less speculative (cf. Petruccione, "Prudentius' Portrait," 228-229).
${ }^{66}$ On the life and writings of Eudocia, see Arthur Ludwich, "Eudokia, die Gattin des Kaisers Theodosios II, als Dichterin," RhMus 37 (1882): 206-25; L. Cohn. "Eudokia," PW 6 (1909): 90612; Alan Cameron, "The Empress and the Poet: Paganism and Politics at the Court of Theodosius II," YCS 27 (1982): 217-89.
${ }^{67}$ The editions of De Sancto Cypriano are those of M. Bandini (PG 85:831-864) and Arthur Ludwich, Eudociae Augustae, Procli Lycii, Claudiani carminum Graecorum reliquiae accedunt Blemyomachiae fragmenta (Bibliotheca scriptorum graecorum et romanorum teubneriana; Leipzig: Teubner, 1897), 16-70. There is an English translation by G. Ronald Kastner ("Eudokia," in Patricia Wilson-Kastner et al., A Lost Tradition: Women Writers of the Early Church [Lanham, Md.: University of America Press, 1981], 135-71) and an Italian translation by Enrica Salvaneschi, "De Sancto Cypriano," in $\sigma 0$ ' $\gamma \kappa \rho \imath \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha$ ': Testi e studi di storia e filosofia del linguaggio religioso [ed. C. Angelino and E. Salvaneschi; Genova: Il Melangolo, 1982], 11-80). For the new material, see Claudio Bevegni, "Eudociae Augustae Martyrium S. Cypriani I 1-99," Prometheus 8 (1982): 249-62.
${ }^{68}$ René Henry, Photius, Bibliothèque ( 9 vols; Collection Byzantine; Paris: Société d'édition "Les Belles lettres," 1959-1991), 2:196-99; cf. the notes and English translation of N. G. Wilson, Photius, The Bibliotheca: A Selection (London: Duckworth, 1994), 174-76.
is full of neologisms, pseudo-epicisms, and often clumsy verse. ${ }^{69}$ Numerous instances of slight expansion and abridgement as well as poetic license are visible throughout her metaphrasis of the Confession, e.g., her reference that in his youth
 Because of these characteristics Eudocia's metaphrasis is of limited text-critical value for the Confession; it is, however, of unquestionable value as an early witness, although the precise relationship of the text of the Confession used by Eudocia to the two identifiable recensions is not altogether clear at this time. ${ }^{70}$

Eudocia's metaphrasis oscillates between the long and short recensions of the Confession. In terms of content there are two key points which distinguish the long and short recensions, Conf. 8:2 and 9:1-9. Only the long recension contains $8: 2$, which is quite possibly an interpolation incited by the unintentional omission of a negative in $8: 1$; the short recension, which contains a negative in $8: 1$, lacks 8:2 entirely (see note 37 to my translation). Here the text utilized by Eudocia clearly followed the long recension (De S. Cypriano 2.275). The contents of Conf. 9:2-8 are also unique to the long recension; they are missing in the short recension, where 9:1 merges with 9:9. At this point Eudocia's text clearly follows the short recension (De S. Cypriano 2.296-306). ${ }^{71}$ If it is maintained that Eudocia followed the text of her manuscript of the Confession relatively closely, then the text that she used represents an intermediary stage in the manuscript tradition.

[^14]
## 4. Manuscripts and Recensions

The Greek version of the Confession of Cyprian of Antioch was first edited by Prudentius Maran in 1726 for the collection of the works of Cyprian of Carthage initially begun by Stephen Baluze. ${ }^{72}$ His edition was subsequently reedited by an anonymous Bollandist editor (who did not consult the manuscript itself) and reprinted for the Acta Sanctorum series in $1760 .{ }^{73}$ Neither edition is up to modern standards and at numerous points emendations and corrections are made silently without any indication of the actual readings in the manuscript. Both editions are of a single manuscript, Par. gr. 1506, and it is from one or the other of these editions that all translations into modern languages are based. ${ }^{74}$ Much lesser known is Michael Gitlbauer's 1878 edition of Vat. gr. 1809. ${ }^{75}$ These two versions have many significant differences and can be divided into two recensions, a long recension (Par. gr. 1506) and a short recension (Vat. gr. 1809).

Other versions of the Confession exist in Latin, Coptic, and Slavonic. ${ }^{76}$ The Latin version has the appearance of an abridgement and attests a shorter recension (shorter than that of Vat. gr. 1809). Sections which appear in both the short and long Greek recensions such as Cyprian's experiences and visions in Memphis

[^15](Conf. 3:1-5:4) are omitted. ${ }^{77}$ On the other hand, the Coptic material attests a Ionger recension (longer than that of Par. gr. 1506), although it may be more accurate to describe it simply as a heavily interpolated version of the long recension. ${ }^{78}$ The Slavonic version also attests the longer recension and is often of help in restoring lacunae in the Coptic versions. ${ }^{79}$ For this edition I have consulted
${ }^{77}$ Of the Greek version of Conf. 3:1-5:4 the Latin retains only: Cum autem XXX annorum factus suissem, de Aegypto intravi in terram Chaldaeorum, volens discere coeli virtutem, quam ipsi super ignem esse dicunt. Since only four Latin manuscripts have been noted by scholars (see, e.g., BHL 2049; Festugière, Révélation, 371), it is impossible to tell if the versions which have been edited and published are representative of all Latin manuscripts. A single Rouen manuscript has been edited by E. Marténe and U. Durand, Thesaurus novus anecdotorum ( 5 vols; Burt Franklin Research \& Source Works Series 275; New York: B. Franklin, 1968), 3:1629-46; cf. Henri Omont, Catalogue général des manuscrits des bibliothèques publiques de France: Tome premier, Rouen (Paris: Plon, 1886), 370 no. 1389 (U.35) fols. $108^{\text {r }}-111^{\mathrm{V}}$. An eclectic text of three manuscripts has also been published by John Fell, Caecilii Cypriani Opera recognita \& illustrata a Joanne Fello, Oxoniensi episcopo Accedunt Annales Cyprianici, sive, Tredecim annorum, quibus S. Cyprianus inter Christianos versatus est, brevis historia chronologicè delineata a Joanne Pearsonio, Cestriensi episcopo (Amsterdam: Joannes Ludovicus de Lorme, 1700), 196206. Fell does not give any information on his manuscripts, but they are MS Digby 30 fols. 29「$46^{\mathrm{T}}$ (see Macray, Catalogi, 28), Trinity College, Cambridge B. I. 23 fols. $95^{\mathrm{T}}-106^{\mathrm{T}}$ (see M. R. James, Western Manuscripts in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge: A Descriptive Catalogue [4 vols. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1900-1904], 1:26 no. 22), and Trinity College, Dublin B. 4. 1 (see Thomas Kingsmill Abott, Catalogue of the Manuscripts in the Library of Trinity College, Dublin [Hildesheim: Georg Olms Verlag, 1980], 26 no. 191, 5). Fell gives few variants in his notes and I have the impression from the few citations from the Bodleian manuscript given by Maran in the notes to his edition of the Greek text that Fell's three manuscripts contain a great deal of variation. There are many more Latin manuscripts than these four, none of which appear in Fros's supplement to $B H L$. For now I will refer to only four more, see Hermann Hagen, Catalogus codicum bernesium (Bibliotheca Bongarsiana) (Hildesheim: G. Olms, 1974), 69 no. 48 (fols. $36^{\mathrm{v}}-45^{\mathrm{r}}$ ); V. Jacob, "Catalogue des manuscrits de la bibliothèque de Metz," SAHM 13 (1874): 281 no. 397, 9; Joseph Planta, A Catalogue of the Manuscripts in the Cottonian Library, Deposited in the British Museum (London: Hansard, 1802), 479 (Vespasianus E. III fols. $106^{\mathrm{T}}-112^{\text {v }}$ ); Jules de Saint-Genois, Catalogue méthodique et raisonné des manuscrits de la Bibliothèque de la Ville et de l'Université de Gand (Ghent: C. Annoot-Braeckman, 18491852), 175 no. 158.
${ }^{78}$ There are two more or less complete Coptic manuscripts: Par. copt. $129^{15}$ fols. $11^{\mathrm{r}}-16^{\mathrm{v}}$, edited by Oscar von Lemm, Sahidische Bruchstücke der Legende von Cyprian von Antiochien (Mémoires de l'Académie Impériale des sciences de Saint-Pétersbourg, VIII ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ s., Classe historicophilologique 4.6; Saint-Petersburg: Académie Impériale des sciences, 1899), and Pier. Morg. M609 fols. $53^{\text {r }}-93^{\text {r }}$, edited by Friedrich Bilabel, "Studien zu Kyprian dem Magier," in Griechische, koptische und arabische Texte zur Religion und religiösen Literatur in Ägyptens Spätzeit (ed. Friedrich Bilabel and Adolf Grohmann; Veröffentlichungen aus den badischen Papyrus-Sammlungen 5; Heidelberg: Verlag der Universitätsbibliothek, 1934), 32-249. There are three additional Coptic fragments, British Museum Or. 3581B[39] (see Walter E. Crum, Catalogue of the Coptic Manuscripts in the British Museum [London: British Museum, 1905], 151-52 no. 331; cf. Bilabel, "Studien," 43-47), Bibl. Naz. di Napoli I. B. 14 fol. 466 (olim Borg. Copt. 294; see Bilabel, "Studien," 47-48), and Erzherzog Reiner K 9514 (see Friedrich Bilabel, "Nachtrag Nr. 167: Wiener Fragment der Kyprianlegende," in Griechische, koptische und arabische Texte, 448-51.
${ }^{79}$ See Jackson, "A Contribution," 36; von Lemm, Sahidische Bruchstücke, x-xii; A. Heisenberg, review of Oscar von Lemm, Sahidische Bruchstücke der Legende von Cyprian von
manuscripts in other languages only where there are serious textual problems in the Greek texts. Ultimately all extant versions will need to be compared and collated in order to fully understand the manuscript tradition, but this is a task that must await the future.

### 4.1 THE GREEK MANUSCRIPTS

This is an edition of the long recension of the Greek version of the Confession, for which I have utilized a third previously unedited Greek manuscript, Vat. gr. 797. There are still two known Greek manuscripts (Ochrid. 4 and Ambr. gr. F 144 sup. $)^{80}$ which I was not able to obtain in time for this edition, and a third (Par. $g r$. 1485) which, though I obtained it too late to include it in the apparatus, I have resorted to in making some final textual decisions. It must be stressed that this edition is a provisional text, a prolegomenon to a definitive edition to which I will dedicate myself in the near future. With more Greek manuscripts the completion of this task is not nearly as bleak as when Arthur Darby Nock, Friedrich Bilabel, and Howard M. Jackson each proposed the construction of a critical edition since they were aware of only a single Greek manuscript (Par. gr. 1506). ${ }^{81}$
$\mathbf{P}=$ Parisinus graecus 1506 (olim Colbertinus 1931, then Regius $2447^{3}$ ), manuscript in parchment, tenth century; 204 folia measuring $198 \times 278 \mathrm{~mm}$; minuscule script written in a single column averaging 24-26 lines per folio; the Confession is found on fols. $171^{\mathrm{r}}-192^{\mathrm{v}} . .^{82}$ On fol. $171^{\mathrm{r}}$ it is given the title $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} v o l \alpha$

Antiochien, ByzZ 9 (1900): 583; for the text see Velikiĭ Minei Chet'i sobrannyı̆ vserossiüskim mitropolitom Makariem (ed. S. Palauzov; Saint-Petersburg: Imperatorskii Akademii Nauk, 1868), for 2 October.
${ }^{80}$ On Ochrid. 4 (tenth century), see François Halkin, "Manuscrits Byzantins d'Ochrida en Macédonie Yougoslave," AnBoll 80 (1962): 7-9; Paul Canart, "Apophtegmes et récits monastiques dans le ms. 33 d'Ochrida," AnBoll 80 (1962): 25 with n. 2. On Ambr. gr. F 144 sup. (gr. 377) (twelfth century), see Halkin, Auctarium, 54; idem, Novum auctarium, 57.
${ }^{81}$ Nock, "Cyprian of Antioch," 411 n. 2; Bilabel, "Studien," 32-33 n. 4; Jackson, "A Contribution," 35 . The other manuscripts have escaped the notice of most scholars since only Par. gr. 1506 is mentioned in Halkin's Bibliotheca hagiographica Graeca, 138 no. 453. François Bovon gives invaluable advice for this type of work in his article "Editing the Apocryphal Acts of the Apostles," in The Apocryphal Acts of the Apostles: Harvard Divinity School Studies (ed. François Bovon et al.; Harvard University Center for the Study of World Religions; Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1999), 1-35.
${ }^{82}$ Henri Omont, Inventaire sommaire des manuscrits grecs de la Bibliothèque Nationale (4 vols.; Paris: A. Picard, 1886-1898), 2:61-62; Albert Ehrhard, Überlieferung und Bestand der hagiographischen und homiletischen Literature der griechischen Kirche von den Anfängen bis
 three Greek titles. ${ }^{83}$ The fact that a folio of the Confession is missing from P has gone largely unnoticed. Folio $187^{v}$ ends with $\tau \grave{\eta} v{ }_{\alpha} \gamma \operatorname{vot} \alpha v$ (see 22:2) and folio
 and glossed over the problem, reading $\tau \grave{\eta} \check{\alpha} \gamma v o i \alpha v \cdot \varepsilon i ̉ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \gamma v o v ̀ \varsigma \kappa \tau \lambda$., and gave no indication in his notes that he ignored the initial $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ or that it even existed. ${ }^{84}$
$\mathbf{V}=$ Vaticanus graecus 1809, manuscript in parchment, tenth century, 271 folia measuring $190 \times 248 \mathrm{~mm}$; tachygraphic script with columns varying throughout the codex; the Confession is found on fols. $217^{\mathrm{r}}$ b $8-218^{\mathrm{r}}$ in three columns containing 60-88 lines each. ${ }^{85}$ V's title is similar to P's but has been
 عủ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma o v$, which is certainly an expansion given that Cyprian becomes bishop of Antioch in the Conversion and not in the Confession. V contains a portion of the contents from the folio that is missing from P . This material begins after tìv $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \gamma v_{0} \alpha v$ (in 22:2) and ends with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ (the end of 22:9), after which the scribe rather mysteriously stopped copying and left a third column almost entirely blank. ${ }^{86}$
$\mathbf{S}=$ Vaticanus graecus 797 (olim 515), manuscript in parchment, tenth century; 380 folia measuring $260 \times 360 \mathrm{~mm}$; minuscule script written in two columns containing 25-27 lines per folio; the Confession is found on fols. $116^{\mathrm{V}}-$
zum Ende des 16. Jahrhunderts (3 vols.; TUGAL 50-52; Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1937-1952), 1:37780; Gérard Garitte, "La tradition manuscrite de l'‘Agathange' grec," RHE 37 (1941): 200; François Halkin, Manuscrits grecs de Paris: Inventaire hagiographique (Subsidia Hagiographica 44; Brussels: Société des Bollandists, 1968), 189.
 пмдгос (Pier. Morg. M609 fol. 53'; Bilabel, "Studien," 65) may be more original than the Greek.
${ }^{84}$ See Maran, "Confessio Sancti Cypriani," 1134 (cf. AASS Sept. VI [1867]: 220); Gitlbauer ("Die Ueberreste," 34) refers to a note on the last folio of the codex reading "post fol. 187 deest folium."
${ }^{85}$ Paul Canart, Codices Vaticani Graeci: Codices 1745-1962 (2 vols; Bibliothecae Apostolicae Vaticanae codices manuscripti; Rome: Typis Polyglottis Vaticanis, 1970), 1:173-78, 2:xxxvii. Photographs of the folia of the Confession are available in Gitlbauer, "Die Ueberreste," pls. XII-XIV along with a transcription (pp. 59-80).
${ }^{86}$ Column $c$ on fol. $218^{\text {r }}$ contains only six lines of text, see Gitlbauer, "Die Ueberreste," pl. XIV.
 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma i \alpha \varsigma$ 'Iov́ $\sigma \tau \eta$, a title which is generally reserved for the Conversion and is not used for the Confession elsewhere. ${ }^{88} \mathrm{~S}$ contains all of the material from P's missing folio. This material was unquestionably once a part of P as the $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ that begins folio $188^{\mathrm{r}}$ of P is no doubt from $\alpha \pi \omega^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ on folio $134^{\mathrm{v}}$ col. $b$ line 3 of S (see 22:17). It is a second witness for Conf. 22:2-9 and its Greek text is better than V's in some places. However, since V ends at $22: 9, \mathrm{~S}$ is the only witness for $22: 10-17$. Since this material was a part of both recensions, it is probable that this material is original text. The new material is particularly striking for its many references to characters from various Greek myths (e.g., Ajax, Odysseus, Paris, Orestes, Medea, Hippolytus, Theseus, etc.), but more so for Eusebius's 'the devil made you do it" argument. With the folio missing from P, Eusebius argues only that Cyprian's misdeeds were committed in ignorance. It may well be that the folio between $187^{\mathrm{V}}$ and $188^{\mathrm{r}}$ was intentionally removed from P because its contents were considered either inappropriate or theologically incorrect. ${ }^{89}$
$\mathbf{N}=$ Parisinus graecus 1485 (olim Colbertinus 505, then Regius 2017 ${ }^{3.3}$ ), manuscript in parchment, tenth century; 183 folia measuring $230 \times 330 \mathrm{~mm}$; minuscule script written in two columns of 35 lines each; the Confession is found on fols. $36^{\mathrm{r}}-37^{\mathrm{v}} .^{90}$ These folia (and those of the Conversion which follow) are unfortunately damaged and a few lines of the top portion of the folia are sometimes partially or entirely illegible. N is acephalus, part of a premetaphrastic

[^16]Menologion containing only the final chapters of the Confession (24:6-28:5). At this point in time it is not possible to determine which recension N belongs to since these chapters are missing from the short text of V , although N generally oscillates between P and S and perhaps stems from the long recension.

### 4.2 NOTES ON THIS EDITION

Gitlbauer considered the text of $P$ to be heavily interpolated; moreover, since Gitlbauer was editing a single codex, he favored the text of V and used P only for control where V is decidedly corrupt. ${ }^{91}$ The Greek of V is on the whole better than that of P , but this does not necessarily make it a more original text. In a number of places it is apparent that V makes what is either difficult or ambiguous in P much easier to understand. More often than not the text of $P$ contains the lectio difficilior. The text of S has a stronger relationship to the text of V than P does. Since all manuscripts stem from a common source, my method has been to follow the median reading where all manuscripts agree in content, i.e., when P agrees with V against S, PV is favored, and when $S$ agrees with $V$ against $P$, $S V$ is favored. This was kept only as a general rule, however, as there are always special cases, such as the danger here of creating eclectic readings which do not appear in any manuscript. In such cases I have more often than not retained the more difficult text of P .

| $1-8$ | P S / V (deest 8:2) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $9: 1-9$ | P S (long) / V (short) |
| $9: 10-22: 2 \mathrm{a}$ | P S V |
| $22: 2 \mathrm{~b}-22: 9$ | S V |
| $22: 10-17$ | S |
| $22: 18-24: 5$ | P S |
| $24: 6-28: 4$ | N P S |

The above table outlines the general contents of the manuscript evidence. Where $P$ and $S$ agree against $V$, I have usually kept the text of PS since this is an

[^17]edition of the long recension. Many of these instances, however, have the appearance of interpolations, but in a number of other places there is significant variation between PS and V . The primary mark of distinction between the long and short recensions is the content of Conf. 9. In the short recension of V the contents of 9:1-9 are barely visible (with 9:2-8 missing entirely). It is perhaps significant that Eudocia's metaphrasis is missing the same material, although her metaphrasis commonly glosses over phrases and passages (see section 3.3). It may well be significant that this material outlines Cyprian's career as a magician in Antioch in greater detail, perhaps in order to better situate Cyprian in Antioch.

I have followed the Bollandist numbering system of 28 chapters-which is used by Gitlbauer in his edition of V and by Fumagalli for his Italian translationsince the Bollandist edition is referenced more often than Maran's. Maran's numbering system of 18 chapters-used by Grimal for his French translationappears in the right-hand margin in brackets. For his German translation Zahn used his own numbering system of 25 chapters, but since no one that I am aware of has cited the text by his chapter divisions, I have left them out.

I have kept an open apparatus that includes all manuscript variants and errors since much work remains to be done on this text. I have also included the abbreviations that appear in S in the apparatus since this manuscript has not been edited previously. Finally, as mentioned, I have not included the text of N in the apparatus, but I have referred to some of its variants in the notes to the translation.

### 4.3 LIST OF SIGLA

Bol "Confessio seu Poenitentia S. Cypriani, editore et interprete Prudentio Marano Benedictino, cum aliis editis collata." AASS Sept., VII (1867): 204-24. An edition of Maran's edition of P by an anonymous Bollandist editor.

Del Delehaye, Hippolyte. "Cyprien d'Antioche et Cyprien de Carthage," AnBoll 39 (1921): 316-17 with n. 2. Used only once for the author's adoption of a suggested emendation to P .

Fest Festugière, A.-J. La révelation d'Hermès Trismégiste: I. L'astrologie et les sciences occultes (2nd ed.; Paris: Lecoffre, 1950), 38 n. 2, 39 n. 2. Festugière for the most part only references Preller's emendations; occasionally he offers his approval and at one point corrects one of Preller's emendations to P .

Gitl Gitlbauer, Michael. "Die Ueberreste griechischer Tachygraphie in codex Vaticanus graecus 1809," Denkschriften der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, philosophisch-historische Classe 28 (1878): 59-80, 95109 with pls. XII-XIV. The editio princeps of V; Gitlbauer is the corrector of V and occasionally of P (in his apparatus) where Maran has erred. When the abbreviation Gitl is used without the abbreviation corr. in the apparatus (following either P or Mar), it means that Gitlbauer is simply following the text of P or one of Maran's corrections.

Lud Ludwich, Arthur. Eudociae Augustae, Procli Lycii, Claudiani carminum Graecorum reliquiae accedunt Blemyomachiae fragmenta (Bibliotheca scriptorum graecorum et romanorum teubneriana; Leipzig: Teubner, 1897), 47-79. In his edition of Eudocia's De Sancto Cypriano Ludwich includes portions of Maran's text of P in his apparatus, sometimes giving his own conjectures and emendations.

Mar Maran, Prudentius. "Confessio Sancti Cypriani," in Sancti Caecilii Cypriani, episcopi Carthaginensis et martyris Opera omnia (ed. Etienne Baluze; Venice: Ex typographia Hieronymi Dorigoni, 1758), cols. 110540. The editio princeps of P ; Maran is a corrector of P and gives a number of suggested emendations and corrections in his notes.

Parisinus graecus 1485 fols. $36^{\mathrm{T}}-37^{\mathrm{V}}$. (Unedited: the text of N is referred to only in the notes to the translation.)

Nil Nilsson, Martin P. "Greek Mysteries in the Confession of St. Cyprian," HTR 40 (1947): 168-69. Nilsson offers a translation of Conf. 1:4-2:5 with the Greek text of P , which he sometimes corrects, accompanying certain difficult phrases.

Noc Nock, Arthur Darby. "Studies in the Greco-Roman Beliefs of the Empire," JHS 45 (1925): 87 with $n$. 27 . Nock offers a couple of emendations to P at Conf. 1:3.

Nock Nock, Arthur Darby. "Hagiographica II. Cyprian of Antioch," JTS 28 (1927): 412 n. 1. Nock prints the Greek text of Conf. 1:6-2:1 and provides a few more corrections to the text of $P$.

P Parisinus graecus 1506 fols. $171^{\mathrm{T}}-192^{\mathrm{V}}$. (Editions: see Mar and Bol)
Prel Preller, Ludwig. "Beiträge zur Religionsgeschichte des Alterthums," Phil 1 (1846): 349-51. Preller offers a few corrections and one hypothetical emendation to the text of P ; he prints the Greek text of Conf. 1:4-2:5 with a few differences in punctuation.

Reit Reitzenstein, Richard. "Cyprian der Magier," Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Philologisch-historische Klasse (1917): 50 n. 3, 51. Reitzenstein offers his defense of one of Maran's conjectual emendations to the text of P at Conf. $1: 1$ and also prints the text of Conf. 12:10-13:5 with a few corrections.

S Vaticanus graecus 797 fols. $116^{\mathrm{v}}-139^{\mathrm{v}}$. (Previously unedited: the text of S can be reconstructed by using the text and apparatus in this edition.)

V Vaticanus graecus 1809 fols. $217^{\mathrm{r}}$ b 8 ab imo $218^{\mathrm{r}}$. (Edition: see Gitl)
Zah Zahn, Theodor. Cyprian von Antiochien und die deutsche Faustsage (Erlangen: A. Deichert, 1882), 30-63. Zahn provides a German translation of P supplied with numerous textual notes often referencing Eudocia's metaphrasis and the Latin recensions.

Text and Translation
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## THE CONFESSION OF SAINT CYPRIAN

1. All you who take offence at the mysteries of Christ, take a look at my tears, and take notice of the power of all that is contained in them [sc. the mysteries]. (2) All you who delight in the customs that come from demons, learn from me the vanity of the mockeries in them. (3) For neither will any of you be able to be more god-fearing ${ }^{1}$ than I was formerly, nor be able to examine the things concerning the so-called gods more than I, nor be able to attain more energy from them. (4) I am Cyprian, who from tender talons ${ }^{2}$ was dedicated to Apollo as a valuable gift and still as a child was initiated into the dramaturgy of the dragon. ${ }^{3}$ (5) I was not yet seven years old when I entered the mysteries of Mithras, ${ }^{4}$ and seeing how I was an Athenian foreigner I was quickly made a citizen by my parents; when I was still ten years old, I carried the torch for Demeter ${ }^{5}$ and submitted to the white sorrow ${ }^{6}$ of Korē, and I served the serpent of Pallas on the Acropolis, ${ }^{7}$ as I was promoted to temple servant. (6) Then I arrived on Mount Olympus, the dwelling place, as they say, of the gods, and I was initiated into the communion of sound ${ }^{8}$ and the recounting of noises. (7) I saw there trees that produce visions and herbs appearing to operate by divine intervention. (8) I saw there the successions of
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seasons as the winds changed and the diversity of days brought about by certain opposing energies. (9) I saw there bands of demons chanting, and others making war, and others lying in ambush, deceiving, and confounding, and I beheld there the phalanx of every god and goddess as I waited for forty days ${ }^{9}$ in that place from which, from kingdoms so to speak, they send out the winds to activate each of them on the earth and among all nations.
2. And I fed only on fruits after sunset ${ }^{10}$; and when I was fifteen years old I was initiated by the seven hierophants ${ }^{11}$ into the energy of each one of them, for my parents were eager that I discover the things of the earth, air, and sea, not only what relates to the nature of the destruction and generation of herbs and trees and bodies, but also the energies which the ruler of this age, ${ }^{12}$ who was opposed to the configuration of God, imprinted in all of them. (2) Then I came to Argos at the time of the mysteries of Hera ${ }^{13}$; I was initiated there into the counsels of the unity of air with ether and of ether with air, and at the same time also of earth with water and of water with air. (3) And I arrived in Elis and then caught up with Artemis Tauropolos ${ }^{14}$ in Lacedaemon in order to learn the mixture and division of matter and the escalations of ambiguous and savage narratives; and what relates to divination I apprehended from the Phrygians, and I learned hepatoscopy, and among the barbarians I learned ornithomancy ${ }^{15}$ and divination from the movements of four-footed animals and the observations of signs for knowing
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and the sound of every shrill cry of wood and stone and of the dead in their tombs and the creaking of doors and the twitching of limbs. ${ }^{16}$ (4) I came to know also the motion of the blood in bodies in relation to energy, and the composition and removal of warts, ${ }^{17}$ and the throwing of words and numbers into words ${ }^{18}$ and of words into numbers, and the superficial effects of the diseases of bodies as though natural, and the natural as though feigned, and oaths which are heard and not heard, and agreements in contradictions. (5) And nothing on the earth and in the air and in the sea was unknown to me, neither what pertains to apparitions, nor what pertains to knowledge, not what is manifold, not what is mechanical, not what is artificial, and as far as the bewitching transference of writings, and all of these kinds of things.
3. After these things, when I was twenty years old, I came to Memphis among the Egyptians, and there I gained experience in the innermost sanctuaries ${ }^{19}$ in which they unite themselves with the beings surrounding the earth, and I gained experience in what astral region ${ }^{20}$ they are apotropaic, and in which stars and ordinances and circumstances they delight and by which they are banished, how they watch over the darkness, and to which other circumstances they show resistance. (2) There I came to know how many rulers of the darkness ${ }^{21}$ there are and how they prosper in souls and have communion with their own bodies, and what kind of energy is erected through them: swiftness in running, knowledge, memory, fear, skill in cunning, unspoken desire, forgetfulness and frivolity of the mob, and things of this sort. (3) There I came to know the semblance of earth-
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[^21]quakes and downpours, and the violent motion of earth and sea manufactured artificially in order to oppose the providential motion of God. ${ }^{22}$ (4) I saw there the souls of giants which were detained under darkness and in appearance raising up the earth as though one were carrying the heaviest of burdens on one's shoulders. ${ }^{23}$ (5) I saw there the fellowships of dragons with demons and the bitterness which was sent forth from them to the detriment of the inhabitants of the earth, from which the aerial spirits partake and distribute terrible things among humans, as they have the benefit of material assistance. (6) I also saw the earth being weighed down by the wind, and it was not let loose upon the water on account of its relation to its natural foundations. (7) I came to a place where the forms of metamorphoses are made for the demons, which ${ }^{24}$ the dragon who is opposed to divine proportion invented for the marshalling of error, and through which the evil spirits render service in impiety to humans who share libations with them. (8) I saw there how impious piety is put together, and knowledge without reason, and unjust justice, and stability in demolition.
4. I saw there the form of falsehood, having an all-variegated shape, and the trimorphic form of fornication: bloody, foamy, like a cuttle-fish; the form of anger, like a stone, desolate and rough and most savage; the form of treachery, shrewd, a natural to many tongues, ${ }^{25}$ with long falling hair; the form of hatred, blind, having four eyes in the back of its skull that always shunned the light and many feet which hung directly from its head, and it did not have a belly because
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of its heartless rage; the form of envy, similar to jealousy, but it differed in that it uses its tongue like a scythe. (2) I saw there the form of wickedness, thin, manyeyed, having arrows in the place of its pupils since it possessed a desire for scheming; the form of grecdiness, having a narrow and long head, and it had a mouth both in the back and in the front of its chest which drew up earth and stones, and it became weaker, since it let go of nothing; the form of hookedness, ${ }^{26}$ having its entire body sharp like a sickle and the pupils of its eyes sunk together in abandonment; the form of commerce, short, fierce, aquiline, having a strap on its back encompassing all its wealth; the form of vanity, well-twisted, plump, and having no bones whatsoever; the form of idolatry, high-flying, having wings on its head and professing to shelter all, yet it did not even have a single limb which was shaded by them; the form of hypocrisy, completely worn out, and having a large chest, but it [sc. its chest] was imperceptibly wasting away as though it were being whirled round upon the winds in countless directions; the form of foolishness, youthful, hermaphroditic, naked, shameless, solitary; the form of rashness, having a tongue longer than the rest of its body; the form of folly, having the head of a nut ${ }^{27}$ and a spongy heart which would evaporate and was capable of retaining nothing. (3) And I saw there the shape of each defect which
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each demon that wears them hurls upon the world. ${ }^{28}$
5. I saw there the three hundred sixty-five forms of passions and vanity and empty virtue and empty wisdom and empty justice in which they lead the Greek philosophers astray. (2) For they are altogether adorned, but they do not have actual existence since they dissipate quickly, some like a cloud of dust, others like a shadow; for in the three hundred sixty-five passions the demons get ready to operate in order to cause digression. (3) And so that I do not fill many books with writing by describing the majority, I hint at the gravity of my ungodliness for you by mentioning a few from the many. (4) When I became thirty years old, I set out from Egypt to the Chaldaeans in order to learn the motion of the ether, which these same men say occurs in fire, but the strict ones among them say occurs in light. ${ }^{29}$ (5) From them I came to know the species of the stars, as with plants, and the dances of the stars, as in the ordinances of war. (6) These men described to me in detail the house of each one and their conjunctions and foods and drinks and spiritual unions with humans which are accomplished on the basis of light. (7) These men divided the ether for me into three hundred sixty-five types, and they each have with respect to their nature an accompaniment of material energy, and they consult the command of the ruler for advice and disclose his will by their movements, and they conceal a mysterious injunction and obey the active words which come from sacrifices and drink-offerings; some, however, do not obey, but guard their disposition against the will of the light. ${ }^{30}$ (8) They showed me how they are persuaded to participate in the
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dark will and cause in return victory over the will of the light. ${ }^{31}$ (9) I saw the mediators ${ }^{32}$ and was amazed that even this world is chopped up by the aerial spirits of the darkness. (10) I came to know their treaties with each other and was astounded that they were bound by peculiar oaths. ${ }^{33}$
6. There were arrangements there, there were injunctions there, there was an eagerness and an intention there in order to have the benefit of their partnership, which the ruler procured with his terrible wisdom. (2) From the air he filled the mind with quick-thinking, from the earth he filled the tongue with deceit, from the underworld he filled purpose with mischievous action, and in this manner he left them no leisure for the whole of time to keep them far from faith and from God and his veneration. (3) He cheated everything into error and confounded everything, and he himself rules over lawlessness with a fount of vanity. (4) Believe me, after I appeased him with sacrifices, I saw the devil. (5) Trust me, I even received him with joy and conversed with him, and I was acknowledged among those who held the foremost positions beside him. (6) He addressed me as wellgrown, a lad, a young Jambres, well-strung for service, worthy of association with that one. ${ }^{34}$ (7) He promised to make me a ruler after the time of the things in my life and to assist me in this life. (8) For this reason he entrusted a phalanx of demons to me, since I held honor with him. (9) "Be a man," he shouted aloud to me as I was going out, "most excellent Cyprian," and after he stood up, he escorted me out, at which all likewise marveled. (10) For this reason all his rulers
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also submitted to me, since they saw the honor which I held with him. (11) His form was like a golden flower adorned with precious stones, and he crowned his head with stones that were twined together-the energies of which illuminated that plain, and his garment was no different-and when he enwreathed himself, he shook the land.
7. Great indeed was the display around his throne of different ranks which laid down their forms and energies in subordination to him. ${ }^{35}$ (2) But he was also displaying himself so as to illuminate the place, and he was astounding everybody, terrifying them tremendously. (3) For he wove likenesses of himself among all the stars and plants and creatures of the Lord, having drawn them up for battle with God and his angels, through which he pretended to lead men astray as God, since he possesses nothing in reality, intending to uphold everything in a dark picture and put it forward. (4) Therefore, whenever the demons appear in their forms, they dissolve, for they are eager, if only through their images, to show their own authority. (5) I shall explain how he acquires the material of these shadows, that it comes from no other place than from sacrifices. (6) For the rising vapors from the savor ${ }^{36}$ of those burnt-offerings are favorable to them, as are wool and linen and weaving-sheds and dyes, and the decorations and instruments of a temple, and they dress themselves in them, using their shadows for forms. (7) For this reason he demands sacrifices; he also
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demands back wools and fruits and all the things on the earth, even as far as an ant and water, so that with them he can acquire the consumption of his fantastic shadows. (8) Therefore, just as in our thought we have memories endued with the forms of those who have died and we see them even though they do not appear, and we converse with them even though they do not hold converse, so too the devil, who, after forming images of the shapes of those things which are sacred to him, places them around both himself and his companions; he gives rain but not water, makes fire but it does not burn, provides fish but not nourishment, and presents gold but it is not real. (9) And then out of the other materials, by gesturing, ${ }^{37}$ he displays a city and houses and fields, both mountains and countries, similarly grass and flowers and wools and blooming expanses, and he displays the substance of dreams, for he himself deceives souls during the night. (10) So he presents these things, and the ungodly humans who serve him prepare to make these things as well.
8. But what was it to me, one who did not want to come to God and did not know the debility of the dragon and all his power and boastfulness because I was buried in the darkness of ungodliness? (2) Then I made trial of his falsehood, since I knew that he only deceives and makes nothing real. ${ }^{38}$ (3) From the virgin Justina I came to know that the demons are smoke and have power over nothing; in the presence of the Christian maiden I saw the dragon so greatly extinguished and lacking even the strength of a mosquito. (4) From the pious virgin I was
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persuaded that the king of darkness, who proclaims his great age, beguiles; the dragon was trampled down like a worm by the maiden Justina, as he was standing near the girl's door without being able to enter. (5) The one who is attended by countless phalanxes was not strong enough to break through a plank of her door. (6) The one who thinks that he rules over all was made a sport of by the maiden; the one who bears himself so haughtily as to shake that which is under heaven was made subordinate in nature by a woman, for he, the one who says that he turns the heavens upside down, was not able to alter her calculations. (7) The one who roars like a lion against everyone sat near her vestibule like a gnat, daring to make no attack; and the one who seems to alarm all men did not invade the maiden out of cowardice. ${ }^{39}$
9. Then, when I passed from the land of Chaldaea, I arrived in Antioch ${ }^{40}$ and was performing miracles like one of the ancients, and I gave proof of my sorcery and was a famous philosopher-magician, since I possessed a great understanding of the invisible realms. (2) And I had a reputation for providing good services to many and countless were those who regularly attended my spectacles, some attended for the argumentation, others for the experiment with the ungodly art, others who were enslaved to envy, jealousy, and depravity attended because of their passions for pleasure-seeking. (3) And I would assemble with everyone, providing the easiest of enjoyment for some, for others directing jealousy against their opponents, either subjecting those who opposed them or destroying those engaged in rivalry with them. (4) And, indeed, fathers would intercede on behalf
${ }^{39}$ In the Conversion each of the three demons conjured by Cyprian succeeds in entering Justina's apartment. This characteristic of non-penetration is unique to the Confession and perhaps metaphorical of the preservation of Justina's virginity. Also unique to the Confession is the presence of the dragon, a character likely adopted from the apocryphal acts of the apostles (see my comments in the introduction, section 2.2). The demon known as Winged Dragon in T. Sol. 14:3-4 who boasts of copulating with beautiful women mentions his attack upon a certain woman whom he impregnated. Elsewhere in the Confession (esp. 3:5, 7) the dragon bears a striking resemblance to the dragon of Egyptian Gnostic traditions, see Pistis Sophia 258-260, 317, 320-322, 329; Epiphanius, Pan. 26.10.8; cf. Apoc. John 11,31-32.
${ }^{40}$ On Syrian Antioch as a center of magic, cf. Eusebius, Eccl. hist. 9.3; Zahn, Cyprian von Antiochien, 102 n. 3. Although some scholars associate Cyprian with Antioch of Pisidia (e.g., Mossay, Discours 24-26, 16) due to the fact no bishop named Cyprian can be historically placed in Syrian Antioch, Zahn (Cyprian von Antiochien, 84-85), although he does not discount this notion outright, correctly highlights the unlikelihood that Pisidian Antioch is intended here given the fame of the other cities that are mentioned in the text. Moreover, this passage was clearly received as a reference to Syrian Antioch (cf. Eudocia, De S. Cypriani 2.297).
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of their daughters since they saw them enslaved to wicked companions, and others interceded on behalf of their female slaves, and others on behalf of their mothers and sisters. (5) And through the demons that belonged to me, no one lost out. (6) Therefore, I was persuaded that there was no other god than the devil, because he rules and prevails over all. (7) But, men, I did not know that he was powerful among those who were like me; I did not consider that he was powerless against some, since I did not perceive that there was another greater power. (8) And when I would be greatly stung by my conscience because he unjustly causes many things, plagues, destructions, stranglings, and because he runs together with the ungodly and the unjust and the merciless, as well as with murderers and robbers, I would stop my conscience for his service, only because he is able to do all things either by deceiving or by using force. (9) However, after, just as in the case of many others, a certain refined lad Aglaïdas came and told me about his love for a certain virgin Justina, I apprehended the weakness of the dragon. ${ }^{41}$ (10) For the entire phalanx that was given to me for support marched in on the virgin and returned unsuccessful; but the miraculous prayer of the maiden, even though it worked enormously, did not get rid of the one who was sent who seemed to contribute to the aid of Aglaïdas. ${ }^{42}$
10. And, indeed, after the many surveillances of the young man and many juggleries and the emulations of the devil which accumulated over seventy days, the devil himself was drawn up beside his foremost rulers for battle against her; for it was no longer only Aglaïdas who was in love with the maiden, but I as well.
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(2) And it happened that he saw so many powers beside the dragon exhausted by a single maiden and was panic-stricken; but the devil was not able to alter our desire, although he tried many times to make it so. (3) For I said to him, "If the constitution of nature is wholly obedient to you, then at least dull our desire, so that we are not laughed at by continuing as we are and accomplishing nothing." ${ }^{43}$ (4) For this reason, when I called the demon of fornication to me, I rebuked him very much, having ordered him to do what I just said, saying, "If Aglaïdas is not relieved of his desire, terrible things will happen." (5) But after doing many things, he was not able, nor, for that matter, was he able to have an effect on us, since God showed that the devil is not able to be efficacious against nature, but is rather presumptuous with nature, as when a certain crippled or lame person who makes use of a horse that knows how to do battle would think to refer to its successes with respect to his own person, for the condition of the young body inflames yearning and arouses its nature to desire even more. (6) Great indeed was my quarrelling with the demons and of the demons with themselves, and they threatened each other and gave up through impatience. (7) But I, as I clung to retreat along with the dragon-he did not grumble since he was able to see his own weakness-shouted at him and in shock he led my retreat. ${ }^{44}$ (8) Then, on the fiftieth day he wanted to mislead Aglaïdas toward a certain young woman and he was not able, nor was he able to secure the shadow of Justina, although there was
${ }^{43}$ According to Gitlbauer ("Die Ueberreste," 101) V's negative ov 8 ' is required by the context since by this point Cyprian has already begun to doubt the devil's power. However, much of the content which precedes is obvious literary foreshadowing. Without the negative Cyprian's statement is much more of a dare, which works well in the immediate narrative context. The literary response to this statement comes in $10: 5$, but even this passage is arguably foreshadowing since both Cyprian and the devil continue to make attempts on Justina.
${ }^{44}$ All three manuscripts are drastically different at $10: 7$. I have retained the text of P , clearly the lectio difficilior, without Maran's emendation and correction. Maran removed $\dot{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda o u v$ in 10:6 and placed it after $\delta \rho \alpha \alpha_{k o v e t ~ i n ~ 10: 7 . ~ H o w e v e r, ~ t h e ~ r e m o v a l ~ o f ~}^{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{i} \lambda o v v$ is problematic since it leaves $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \hat{\lambda}$ ous in an awkward position. Maran then corrects $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta$ óovv in 10:7 to $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta$ ó $\omega v$. It is much simpler to take кateßóovv as the main verb, which is the case, although with variations, in S and to a lesser extent in V .
 $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ v \eta \nu$ к $\alpha i ̀ \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \tau i ́ \theta o v v \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \gamma v \omega \sigma ı v$.






































no resemblance of her that was recognizable in the maiden that was transformed.
(9) Therefore, I recognized their error and delivered my condemnation.
11. So, finally, the devil prepared the demon of fornication ${ }^{45}$ to show the shape of Justina to the young man and it appeared to portray her shape, but as it drew near to Aglaïdas, who became exceedingly glad and called her by name, the entirely beautiful Justina came happily; at the name of the virgin the shape was immediately taken away and the demon was dissolved like ssmoke and was put to flight, since even the name of the virgin was burdensome to the demons. (2) I was present, men, when these were being prepared; I had experience of her faith and the cheapness of the dragon. ${ }^{46}$ (3) I was troubled, I was suffering from insomnia, I became assiduous, I transformed myself into a woman, I became able to fly; but I would merely reach the door of her vestibule when my illusion withdrew, I was Cyprian when my magic art stopped working. (4) At one point I turned Aglaïdas into a sparrow, ${ }^{47}$ and after he flew up, he stood on the house of Justina; but when the saint peeped out he lost his existence as a sparrow, and the struggling man who stood on the highest point would probably have been killed if he was not brought down gracefully by the compassion of the virgin from the weakest
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extremity of her house. (5) Then, after she suggested that he be at rest and serve God, she threw him out of her vestibule. ${ }^{48}$ (6) Neither sickness, nor torture, nor any other discomfort had prevailed over her, for even the devil tortured her with such things as these. (7) Her parents lamented her often since the doctors gave up on her; but she said to them, "Do not lament, since I shall not die, for neither am I disheartened, nor do I feel any pain, nor do I have perception of a disease other than of a certain superficial fever heat which spread over me as though from the air. ${ }^{, 49}$ (8) For what did we not do, or what action did we not perform against her? ${ }^{50}$ (9) She then made the sign of the cross and drove the energies of the demons away; then I injured her parents and killed their flocks, cows, and beasts of burden.
12. But she advised them not to be disheartened and not to despair, and through her admonitions she persuaded those that survived to receive abundantly from the God who blesses. (2) They knew the wrath which was placed upon her, and all cried out that she give herself up to the young man, not for corruption, but for lawful marriage; but Justina remedied their lack of strength with the cross of Christ and calmed the disturbance; and the devil brought a
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plague upon the people and gave an oracle that they would be set free if Justina was wedded to Aglaïdas. (3) But her prayer pacified the people who cried out and at once she chased the plague away from the city, whose citizens, after they got a sense of what happened, changed their minds and praised God, but they abused me vehemently as an enemy of the city, so that I sent messages secretly and was hesitant to meet with my acquaintances. (4) Finally, when I saw that nothing prevails over the seal of Christ, having gone into myself, I said to the devil, "Destructive one and choir-leader of error and treasure-house of impiety, why did you plot against my soul when you were conscious of your weakness? (5) For if the shadow of Christ prevails over you, what would you do if he himself were standing by your side? (6) If Christ is merely spoken of by name and you tremble with fear, what would you do if he wanted to attack you? (7) If the sign of his passion makes you weak, where will you be found within its energy? (8) If one makes the sign and you are not brave enough to force your way past its boundary, then whom are you able to remove from its reach? (9) You are nothing, nor do you have a plan for self-defense; neither are you strong, nor do you have the power for avenging. (10) Now I have come to know your error, I have put a stop to your illusions, I have witnessed your weakness, for anything that you possess is not substantial, but vain and fruits of transient moments; neither the forms nor the laws which you set against godliness are real, but error and illusion.
13. $\delta ı \varepsilon ́ \varphi \theta \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha ́ \varsigma ~ \mu о v ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \delta ı \alpha ́ v o ı \alpha v, ~ \alpha ̇ \pi \omega ́ \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha ́ \varsigma ~ \mu о v ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \psi v \chi ŋ ́ v, ~ \tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~$




















[^31]13. "You have corrupted my thinking, destroyed my soul, shattered my hopes, pulled my entire intellectual constitution down into chaos, destroyed my life and squandered it through malice, and annihilated my entire natural constitution. (2) I was greatly lead astray since I believed in you, I acted exceedingly impiously, I was senselessly swept away since I gave myself to you; I became foolish in learning, I used my education for harm since I obeyed you; I lost my money and affairs since I followed your deceit. (3) And you have caused in addition the loss of my soul together with my inherited wealth; if I apportioned the things that were lost on you to those who have need of them, then at least I would have a little hope for salvation. (4) Woe is me, what has become of me? (5) I was destroyed terribly, I was wounded incurably, I thought that I was alive when I was dead, and I was unaware of this when I offered to buy a tomb for much money, since I lived in danger while I was serving you. (6) I must appeal to the Christians so that they show me mercy; I must submit to those who are pious so that they have pity on me; I must grasp the feet of Justina as well, so that she provides for my salvation. (7) Go away from me, lawless one, unhallowed one, rebel; depart from me, enemy of truth and opponent of piety." (8) When he heard this, he ran at me and set out to kill me, and falling upon me he tried to strangle me. (9) When I did not have the strength since I was crushed by his force and every hope of living was being stripped from me, I was reminded of the sign which the virgin made use of,
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and I said, "God of Justina, help me!" and I was strengthened immediately after my cry, and as I set my hand in motion, I sealed myself. (10) He then recoiled from me, being cast forth like an arrow, and as he stood he tried to threaten me by drawing a sword against me; and, indeed, after I made procf of Christ through his seal, I became even more confident and called upon him often. (11) Then he was far away, threatening me and saying, "By no means can Christ save you from my hands, for he abhors impiety and helps you now as a trick in order to hand you over to destruction. (12) When he rejects you, I will show you what despising my power can do, because even Christ does not accept those who are mine; now you are also deprived of my disposition, and that one will not help you at all."
14. At these words I was sorely afraid, for he answered me cleverly. (2) For this reason I said to those were present, ${ }^{51}$ "Have pity on my wretchedness, tell me about Christ, if I am able to appease him, if he will receive me when I repent, if he can give me help and deliverance from my former impiety." (3) While the majority remained silent, a certain one who opened his mouth said to me, "Fear not, Cyprian, because Christ will receive you, for you acted out of ignorance"; and I replied to him, "Won't Christ deal with me as the devil said, by rejecting me in the end?" (4) Then he said to me, "You realized that the devil is a liar, yet you
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[^33]believe his words? (5) There is no cunning in God, Cyprian, because he himself is the truth; there is no falsehood in him, because genuineness springs forth from him. (6) But so that you learn that he is a source of goodness: He, who is the God and creator of all, became a man for our sake, and on our behalf he allowed himself to die so that through him we could be above the sins of death; and the almighty God became reconciled with us and prepares us to have hope for eternal life, since he offered to us through Christ the model in what way we should live in recognition of his resurrection. (7) Therefore, if Christ died for the sake of the impious and the sinners, fear not, Cyprian, because he certainly does not despise you; you are one of the impious and he will atone for you completely. (8) So perceive Christ from his good heart and do not be anxious about what you have done; if he even offered prayers on behalf of those who crucified him, how will he overlook you? (9) For he said to the Father concerning them, 'Father, forgive them, for they know not what they do, ${ }^{52}$; and how will he not forgive you your impieties which you did in ignorance? (10) Do not be afraid nor have timidity, but when you rise, go to our bishop and he will show you the approach to Christ." (11) After he said these things, I went into myself and seized my soul; then I went back again to converse with courage and to say, "Friend Timothy, are things as you say they are?" (12) And he held me up with many other words.
15.1 Then I went before everyone to make my impieties known and said, "Does he forgive me all that I brought about, Antiochian men? (2) For many
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times I have acted impiously and there is neither a number nor a word for the delineation of my evils. (3) I cut open pregnant women for the demons, ${ }^{53}$ and transforming noble women, I took them prisoner from their own cities, and after they conceived from fornication, I would kill them; I slaughtered their suckling babies below the earth, ${ }^{54}$ others I suffocated, others I strangled at the promise of the dragon's assistance; by this time I was slaughtering boys who had attained puberty ${ }^{55}$; others who were advanced in age I buried for Pluto, ${ }^{56}$ and I cut off the heads of foreign men for the sake of Hecate ${ }^{57}$; I offered up the blood of women who were still virgins to Pallas, and to Aries and Kronos men already full-grown; and I satisfied fully many other demons through such sacrifices, ${ }^{58}$ so that in this way I might draw near to the devil himself. (4) And when I was about to approach him, I presented to him the blood of every living thing in a golden vessel; and when he received it, he sprinkled first his crown and his powers, and then me, saying, 'You too, receive authority over every soul of irrational and rational beings.' (5) Since I offered myself to friends, I murdered countless others and brought down many into poverty. (6) Indeed, my good deeds were of no consequence because as illusions they did not have an actual existence; my wrongdoings, however, were real because the demons could operate harmfully on realities, though they could not bring about reality themselves. (7) If I gave gold to someone it would last for three days, after which those to whom I mentioned the deception and who entered into contracts with him would fine the negotiators.
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(8) I am incapable of saying how many times I committed adultery and pederasty, ${ }^{59}$ perverting together with my own the souls of many others, even those who were not willing. (9) Who would be able to record how many times I used cunning for wickedness, for perdition and destruction? (10) How, then, will God pardon all these deeds, friends? (11) How will he show mercy to me, who showed no mercy myself? (12) If the account from me was about one or two souls, I would have hope for a defense; the bad things I did are many.
16. "What shall I pass over or what else shall I confess? (2) Destruction of people, cunning disposition with friends, slaying of strangers, ${ }^{60}$ the slaughtering of others, the persecutions of the pious, the plots against the Christians, the corruptions of virgins, the demolitions of churches, the making desolate of houses of prayer, the control of revered women, the designs against all initiated into the mysteries ${ }^{61}$ —for I tortured many to betray their secrets, and when I heard them I would speak of them and scoff as I described them in detail, and I cheated their sacred writings, threw them away, destroyed and burned them; the tearing to pieces of those who regularly attended church, the hatred, the laughter at baptism, the enmity for the clerics, the suspicion, the plots against them, the joking at prayers, the sneering at the liturgy, the blasphemies against Christ and God, the censure of his gospels. (3) Why would Christ forgive me even the first of these or
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why would he pardon me? (4) For all these things are bad and full of impiety; my lifetime is not sufficient for me to make an apology. (5) I do not know if I will live another twenty years, which would hardly grant repentance for one deed of mine; what time will I have for my countless impieties? (6) Tell me, friends, what shall I do, what shall I accomplish? (7) I have recognized the divinity of Christ, but I do not have the power to appease him. (8) I have recognized the piety of his priests, but I do not have the countenance to speak openly with them. (9) I have recognized the grace of the gospels, but I do not dare to touch them since I am constrained by my conscience. (10) I have recognized that faith in Christ is the undying mystery, but I do not have the strength to soften its virtuousness. (11) I have recognized the institution of the church, but I am ashamed even to approach the vestibules. (12) I have become aware of God and I am at a loss as to how I will answer to him.
17. "I am anxious to live piously and impiety prevails over me; I desire to obey Christ as a slave and I do not know if he wants to buy me; I offer myself for sale, I register myself as his slave; I do not want recognition, only that he receives me; if he does not want to excuse me, then I ascribe the blame to myself, though only if he has examined me as an impious person. (2) I know one thing, that I am worthy of eternal death; I do not ask for retribution, only that I am deemed worthy to die when he orders it, and deemed worthy to be under his authority, even if he wants to put me to death. (3) I do not ask for forgiveness, perhaps my debt surpasses his goodness; I do not come forward making a trial, for I have proof of
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his power from Justina; I inscribe death for myself, only let me see how Christ is worshipped. (4) Tell me, friends, if as a stranger I am able to be near the things which are spoken, so that seeing his divinity from afar I wither my soul away in grief. (5) I do not believe that he forgives me, for I do not believe that there has ever been a human being worse than me, since I outdid the so-called Jannes and Jambres. ${ }^{62}$ (6) Those ones acknowledged the finger of God while performing magic, ${ }^{63}$ but I was wholly convinced that there was no God. (7) If God did not pardon those ones even though they recognized him in part, how will he pardon me, who did not recognize him at all? ${ }^{64}$ (8) I do not boast in my impieties, since I must account for serving falsehood again, for I am not ignorant of his grace, but I know that he seeks after truth. (9) Who can describe the sea of impiety to you, who would delineate the abyss of blasphemy for you? (10) Who will bring you into the vaults of evil? (11) I made room for it all and in me its abysses have been measured, through me many have suffered shipwreck at its hands.
18. "I am not alone in my perdition, nor have I cast only myself into the pit; I have pulled many others down with me. (2) Which shall I lament? (3) For whom shall I weep? (4) For those whom I killed physically or those whom I finished off magically? (5) For those who have learned through me or those who have imitated me? (6) I became an ungrudging teacher of impiety; I have been a zealous herald of evil. (7) I had countless students, I communicated this poison to
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[^38]many; when I seemed to submit myself to friends, I stuck a sword in them; and when I was believed to owe relatives, I became the source of destruction among them; and I made many men sorcerers at their requests. (8) I wickedly pushed young men forward to bring them to old age, and I persuaded old men to die in purposelessness, foreigners to leave their homes for the purpose of my sorcery, ${ }^{65}$ and those who did good deeds I prevented from progressing toward piety. (9) As a priest I conducted the mysteries, as a temple servent of demons I gave instruction, gave drink for the purpose of deception, made a display of my powers for the purpose of error, as a hierophant I performed the hecatomb, and as one who had power to do many things, I refrained from nothing. (10) I kindled many to impersonation and I killed most who quarreled with me; others who feared me would bow down and I became a traitor to those who wanted to stand against me. (11) When I was asked to fly through the air and walk on the water, ${ }^{66}$ I did, and I provided winds for ships to sail, and after they were flown in I prepared those that did not sail to go abroad. (12) I released the winds and hindered them again; I caused ships to sink underwater and others to run ashore for laughter. ${ }^{67}$ (13) I made water appear to flow in the desert and caused it to flood in houses. (14) I caused wives to be chased away from their husbands to adulterers, ${ }^{68}$ caused the murder of children, showed a reckless delight in death, handed over entire houses into ruin, suffered friends to be murdered, punished many sincere household slaves. (15) Some dared to become like me in these matters and the demons congratulated them, for they outdid me.
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19. "So tell me, friends, if there is any conception for deliverance from these things, or is it rather necessary to choose death by hanging? (2) For living with such a memory of evil deeds, even though they are no longer done, is comparable to the utmost death. (3) I would like at least to hear about the pcwer of Christ and in this manner to die the death which has been marked out for me. (4) Since I have this desire, I still endure living, for I know that all hope of salvation has been removed from me. (6) I do not offer to speak of the remaining things so that I do not cause my memory to be a sword; I do not carry on to point out the stronger things so that getting up you do not run away; I am not obliged to deliver over the bigger things so that the house itself is not also swallowed up. (6) I give you a notion of what sort of godless person I am since I have my soul separate from my body in the nether-regions prosecuting and battling greatly the more unholy of the things which were spoken; I gave counsel to those in the air to envy the things below and united those below with those above, and I offered myself as a proof to each of the two. (7) I do not go on to pass through what remains, for it is of no use to those who hear. (8) How, then, do you who do not know everything about me say that Christ will receive me? (9) You mentioned the Jews to me since they attained atonement. (10) But I even outdid the Christ-killers who fought against God, and perhaps even the demons who worked among them. (11) And I thank you very much, but I do not still hope to be saved, since I displayed
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so much impiety towards God and humans. (12) I know that I said that I was greater than Christ, but I also laughed at him, calling him boorish. (13) I portrayed the dead as living and the lame as running, and I hindered many from believing in him-from believing that he is God-but I also hindered them with discourse, persuading them not to become Christians-persuading them that he is not God, for which reason he was also crucified by the Jews, since the devil worked through them.
20. "I said that he was a common man and a trickster who had no knowledge whatsoever-I do not mean of invisible things, but not even of visible things. (2) So how do you say to me, 'Christ will receive you even though you have sinned against him so greatly'? (3) For whom, then, should I repent? (4) For those whom I have hindered from being saved or for those whom I persuaded to be lost? (5) For the murders which I committed or for those whom I persuaded to be slain? ${ }^{69}$ (6) For those with whom I have behaved licentiously or for those whom I persuaded to behave licentiously? (7) For those whom I have plotted against or for those whom I have treated scornfully, ravished, and harmed as I brought souls down from the light into the outer darkness. ${ }^{70}$ (8) For it is not enough for me that I have been able to know true divinity; for this reason I see that it is better that I stop Christ to ask for his favor, so that I do not fall into worse impiety; since I think that I am unable ${ }^{71}$ to approach God, I remain silent so that he does not make mention of me, not for forgiveness, but for a punishment that goes beyond this." (9) And, indeed, held back by tears I remained silent among those ones, and then
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all those who were sitting nearby wailed excessively when they saw that I said things that were right; and there was a great noise of lamentation from those who approved that I thought reasonably, even though I gave up the approach to Christ since I was unworthy of his divine assistance. ${ }^{72}$ (10) And after tearing off my clothes and sprinkling myself with ashes, I lay outstretched upon the ground taking a great sorrow upon myself as I cried out not with battle-cries but with tears merely "Woe is me!" since $I$, the miserable one, was lost. ${ }^{73}$
21. Then, indeed, while all were huddled together, my friend Eusebius who answered, said, "Cyprian, do not despair, for there is deliverance from all these things because you did them in ignorance; for you considered devoting yourself to godly works after you put an end to the devil; your ignorance gives you room for a defense. (2) So why do you tear yourself to pieces singing a piercing dirge? (3) So why have you fallen into arguments for the destruction of your soul? (4) Hear from me as one who loves you, lend me silence, so that I can satisfy you fully. (5) I know many, Cyprian, who, even though not with deeds like yours, but with intentions, approached Christ and were saved; learn to know the whole from the part. (6) Even those sorcerers were displayed, and you as a sorcerer will certainly be displayed just like those ones. (7) How long will you, even though you are coming out of a great sickness, beat yourself up and seek vengeance? (8) Look away, so that the devil does not assist you in accepting this hopelessness; he is
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clever, as you yourself know even better; do you not realize that before the cock crowed in the night he answered you with cunning and how he was far away from you while he threatened you? (9) Did he not say to you, 'Christ hates those who are mine and met with you with cunning,' he says, 'so that after he has separated you from me he could reject you again and I could do whatever I wish to you'? ${ }^{74}$ (10) In the meantime, then, you must know that when Christ is present, the devil can do nothing; seal up your heart, so that the notion of hopelessness is taken away from it; call upon Christ, so that you gain experience of his goodness. (11) He does not ask you for gifts, nor does he stand in need of time for his mercy, nor does he give you his help through mediations of illusion. (12) For his disciple Paul the Apostle says, 'The word is near you, on your lips and in your heart. ${ }^{75}$ (13) What kind of word is this? (14) One of faith in him and appeal, of course: 'For one believes with the heart and so is justified, and one confesses with the mouth and so is saved. ${ }^{76}$
22. "I accept your confession because you proclaimed your impieties, the ones which occurred and the ones which did not; for this reason I remained silent and I persuaded my friends to do the same, so that after you threw up the enemy's way of thinking, your mind was relieved as it titled toward piety. (2) Settle down, Cyprian, understand that you are human, perceive error, ignorance, ${ }^{77}$ the youthful folly of nature, the movement of the enemy, the dreadfulness of the demons.
${ }^{74}$ Cf. Conf. 13:12.
${ }^{75}$ Rom 10:8 (NRSV).
${ }^{76}$ Rom 10:10 (NRSV).
${ }^{77}$ After $\tau \grave{\eta} v \alpha \check{\alpha} \gamma v o l \alpha v$ on fol. $187^{v}$ of P a folio is missing. Fol. 188r begins $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v \cdot \varepsilon i ̉ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ (cf. Conf. 22:17-18). Maran seems not to have noticed and read $\tau \grave{\eta} v \ddot{\alpha} \gamma v o t \alpha v \cdot \varepsilon i ̉ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma v o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$. without giving any indication of what the initial $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$, which is undoubtedly from the $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ preserved in S, might have been.


























(3) It is no longer necessary for you to explain all that they are eager to do and what sort of things they practice. (4) Why then don't you warn yourself not to destroy yourself further with your lamentations? (5) Say to your conscience, 'I have become a plaything of ignorance and I have become like an enemy to myself, though I did not wish it'; persuade your mind that you have done evils since you were acted upon by evil, just as wood has burned up many people and men and cities and lands by fire. (6) No one ascribes the destruction to the wood, but rather to the fire; and you, do not ascribe it to yourself, but rather to error; do not allude to your conscience as the cause of your impiety, but rather the seduction of the dragon. (7) Or have we not known many who went mad and plotted against themselves, such as Ajax did, who because of Odysseus's jealousy killed himself. ${ }^{78}$ (8) Others resorted to using the sword involuntarily on children and parents, as Orestes on his mother, and Medea on her children, and Theseus against Hippolytus. ${ }^{79}$ (9) Paris did not know that Troy would be captured on account of Helen, nor Agamemnon, who was related to Aegisthus, that he received into his home one who plotted against himself. ${ }^{80}$ (10) Nor too was Perdiccas aware that he would be punished at the hands of a double love, and he was anxious to achieve the end which he vowed to accomplish. ${ }^{81}$ (11) Even Oedipus himself, who vowed to attain the glory in which he toiled and succeeded, felt regret; for, after he killed his father, even though he was king over the same region, he lamented the chance of his kingship, and since he involuntarily fell upon marriage with his mother, this one himself considered his wedded life with her to be stained with blood. ${ }^{82}$ (12) Similarly, these ones, who were all deluded by
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the dragon, tempered the life of struggle with chance in the present life, and the majority have rehearsed a palinode. ${ }^{83}$ (13) For as long as the human being was able, they have passed by without rendering what is due to error. ${ }^{84}$ (14) And you, Cyprian, pay attention to what is involuntary in your judgment and recognize your rebelliousness against God. (15) The water of a gutter that gushes was in your mind; the devil, who has come suddenly upon many countries, suddenly rushed down through you. (16) To what, then, is it necessary to ascribe destruction, to humans, because having fled they are condemned, or to the water that achieves the destruction? (17) So the devil, as I see it, had such a one as you, a place in which he has destroyed many through you. (18) If you continued in your impiety after you recognized the power of Christ, then perhaps one could accept your hopelessness; but if you knew now that he can also turn away the enemy, then it is clear that even long ago if someone told you about Christ, then you would have publicly renounced your impiety with headlong speed. (19) Therefore, let the weeping now fall away from you because of Christ's reconciliation with you, and when you acquire a strong mind, go with his will. (20) For in this way you will be able to bring many more to Christ than those which you destroyed, ${ }^{85}$ while you make that which is good known to all." (21) And I said, "I do not stop weeping since I am stricken in my conscience, but since I have refrained from saying many things-for I have one further anxiety over my plot against Justina on account of which I performed child-sacrifice and hepatoscopy on women and the rest of the unlawful art, if Christ overlooks her affliction and pardons me-I call upon you, father Eusebius, to show me, as I long for it, from the scriptures of Christ whether he has delivered anyone who has turned back from impious charges like me."
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 $\pi о \lambda v \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \varsigma \mathrm{~S}$.
23. And Eusebius said, "Even Christ's apostle, Paul by name, although he was not a magician but actually became the foremost pursuer of his servants, agreed to the slaying of Stephen, but also with written letters from the state he was banishing those who served Christ in Damascus from the land and every city; but after he converted, he became the vessel of his choice, as he also agreed when he said, 'I was shown mercy by Christ, because I acted in ignorance. ${ }^{86}$ (2) And it says in the Acts of his apostles that many of those who practiced magic were accepted by Christ after they burned their magical books and obtained forgiveness of sins after they were baptized, and they rose above their impiety and the retribution for it. ${ }^{87}$ (3) And if it is necessary to introduce the Babylonian Nebuchadnezzar to you: this man-after the proof of God which he saw in a furnace of fire, since it was burning while three servants of God were protected-who acted impiously and was banished away from human beings, becoming a beast, was received after he repented, so that he regained the might which he had from the beginning. ${ }^{88}$ (4) And the following examples are among these ones: Manasseh, the king of Israel, and many other kings and laymen, who did all kinds of terrible things even after they had knowledge of God, were also received favorably when they repented, as they were set free from the severest punishment for their idols even though they pulled entire peoples down together with them and killed prophets and defiled the sanctuaries. ${ }^{89}$ (5) But he also received in a sea of compassion and mercy the whole of Israel, who until the
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coming of Christ often acted impiously and often repented; and repentance by cutting the hair short ${ }^{90}$ is still very strong in the church today, so that even those who are exceedingly weak from sins can drive themselves forward after they have converted. (6) The gospel is its power, since it itself is the manna of Christ's grace in such a way that when those who act impiously are shown mercy by him, they are not condemned; for this reason he said to Peter, 'Not only seven times seven do you forgive your brother, but seventy times seven. ${ }^{91}$ (7) How then will he who entrusts humans to have such great goodness not forgive you? (8) So that you learn the comparison of the mercy of God to humans: 'The mercy of a human being is for his neighbor, but the mercy of God is for every living being., 92
24. "What, then, are you in comparison to every living being that you think that he would be emptied out if he were to be merciful to you? (2) He determined death for the Ninevites, who acted more impiously than you-I do not mean just one, but them all together-and after they turned around he did not destroy them; you too, call upon him vigorously, for he would certainly not reject you. (3) He presented paradise to the thief at a decisive moment because of the preeminence of his faith, so would he not forgive you, even though you were buried in a sea of evil, if you genuinely called upon him? (4) Read the prophets and you will know his goodness. (5) Hosea said to Israel, 'Would I make you like Admah and Zeboiim?' and immediately he adds, 'My heart recoils and within myself my regret is stirred, ${ }^{93}$ indicating that he is ready to receive those who have repented. (6) He said to Elijah, 'Have you seen how Ahab was moved deeply from my presence? I will certainly not bring evils in his days ${ }^{94}$ _although
${ }^{90}$ коvроко́ $\mu$ о̧ is a hapax legomenon. The initial element must be from either коv $\alpha ́$ ("cropping the hair") or more likely кópos ("boy"), which appears as кovoo- in compounds and comes from кعípo, "to cut the hair short" (cf. LSJ $981 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{v}$. кó $\rho \circ \varsigma$ [B] III). The final element may be from кó $\mu \eta$, "hair of the head" or possibly ко $\mu \alpha$ ( $\omega$ in reference to long hair. The translation here as Maran's ("Confessio," 1133) and Grimal's (Romans grecs, 1409) is essentially the equivalent of кои́ $\rho \iota \mu$ оऽ. It seems that Zahn (Cyprian von Antiochien, 57) in his translation "Haarschmucks beraubt" is taking the final element as кó $\sigma \mu \circ$. In the Conversion (ch. 2) Aidesios, the father of Justina, is baptized and cuts off his hair and beard "for he was a priest of the idols."
${ }^{91}$ Cf. Matt 18:22.
${ }^{92}$ Sir 18:13.
${ }^{93}$ Hos 11:8. P's variant $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \delta^{\prime} \delta o \mu \alpha$ probably originates in a dittographic error by doubling the sigma in the phrase $\dot{\omega} \varsigma^{*} A \delta \alpha \mu \alpha$. Note S's haplographic error in the following phrase $\dot{\omega} \Sigma \varepsilon \beta \omega \varepsilon$ í $\mu$.
${ }^{94} 1$ Kgs 21:29 (3 Kgdms 20:29 LXX).























[^45]through him, Elijah, he determined death for him because of a certain Naboth, whom his wife Jezebel killed for his sake through cunning ${ }^{95}$-so how can you despair about being saved yourself when you will be surrounded by so great a sea of mercies? (7) I do not only show you plainly that God is merciful; for, so that no one would refuse their own salvation, he swears, saying, 'As I live, says the Lord, I surely do not wish the death of the sinner as much as that he converts and lives. ${ }^{96}$ (8) Repent with your whole heart and he will say to you, 'Even if you lived one hundred years in impiety and repented on the last day, you would certainly not die, says the Lord, but you will live a life facing me. ${ }^{97}$ (9) God is not able to lie, for he himself is the truth; he is not able to speak figuratively for your sake, Cyprian, and did he not spare his own son for the sake of the descendants of humans and is his goodness able to be conquered because of you? (10) He left the ninety-nine sheep, who are clearly the heavenly body, behind in heaven and descended to the one who was lost, ${ }^{98}$ so is he able to shorten his goodness for you?
25. "He was crucified for the sake of the human being and does not hesitate to give himself to a godless person who converts. (2) Since he was reviled, he calls upon the godless, so would he reject you when he is praised? (3) He did not turn away the thief when he suffered, ${ }^{99}$ so would he not turn himself to you in expiation when he is worshipped? (4) Since he was not believed, he called upon sinners, so would he not be merciful to you when he is believed? (5) If God is greater than the angels, ${ }^{100}$ then certainly it is because he is more greatly merciful; all the heavenly beings rejoice over one sinner who repents, so how could God
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reject you? (6) Be of good courage, Cyprian, Christ did not come to call upon the just, but sinners to repentance ${ }^{101}$; only, you, repent as it is necessary and you will see him when he embraces you. (7) Not only was he glad because he found the lost sheep, but he also lifted it up like a child upon his shoulders, so that by this deed you learn the superiority of God with respect to the angels, yet you say, 'Will he overlook me?' (8) You, only produce a harvest worthy of repentance and then you will be remembered by me because you will be an heir of his kingdom ${ }^{102}$; he sends rain upon sinners and the just and makes his sun rise up over the good and the wicked, yet you say that he rejects you? (9) He shows kindness to those who do not believe, so would he not receive you as one who sighs deeply? (10) It says in Isaiah, 'When you have turned back, you will sigh deeply, then you will be saved, ${ }^{103}$ so will he not give you thanks in eternal pleasure after you have repented fruitfully? (11) For it also says in Paul, 'He wants all human beings to be saved and to come to the knowledge of the truth. ${ }^{104}$
26. "And these are a few from the many, from me to you, Cyprian; when you go to the teachers, however, you can hear clearly of the things that pertain to repentance, and you can have me among the order of pupils, since you have been amazed at the breadth of doctrine. (2) For they are without envy and they lend a helping hand while rejoicing; there is no arrogance among them, no fancy garment suggesting ostentation, no vague sophistical notion, no miserly procrastination in hope of pay. (3) For they begin from the fundamentals, removing all suspicion of fraudulence; they do no lead the mind up from inferior things like the Sophists, but differently from them, so to speak, they encourage it to draw near the foundation of wisdom, since they have known well that it is necessary to first mark out the foundation because it is strongest, then in this way
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[^48]build what follows upon it without fraud. (4) You will see there an honorable service, which is celebrated not with cymbals and instruments, no rattling noise which weakens hearing, no flutes that sound unbounded songs of praise, no drums which break the motion of reasonableness, no choir which pays more attention to the music and not to good order, no cry of something unintelligible which disturbs understanding, no sacrifice and purified filth, no wood and fire set to irrational bodies as a means of protection, no priest who is fully armed as if for an unexpected battle, no temple servants who turn the strength of bulls, no undisciplined word, no most shameful laughter, no haughty glance, no disorderly feast, no unseemly custom, but you will see altogether a disposition of rest and a constitution that is not puffed up, through which one can be trained whenever one sees that infants have the same good order as old men, so that one would think that their youth was robbed by some divine watchword. (5) Therefore, when everyone stands in a circle, you can see a holy city which obeys a single leader and other temple servants in a sequence of order surrounding the holy table; then, in this way the psalmist, who is simple in speech and pricks the heart with a hymn for encouragement, cleans completely, so to speak, the mouths and the ears of earthly songs with the readings which follow.
27. "Therefore, do not fear the approach, but approach with outspokenness; and when you stand up, break your fast, since this is your third day without eating; and in the meantime let us go in common to evening prayer, and then continue on the next day ${ }^{105}$ with the assembly that is kept as a high festival, for it is a custom on the first of seven days to remember the resurrection of Christ, after
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which, when we have gone to the bishop, we do and say things that are seemly.
(2) Get a hold of yourself, Cyprian, for perhaps you will save many more than those whom you have destroyed, and remember me whenever it goes well for you as you interpret the visions that come to you by day to the advantage of many."106 (3) Then, when I stood up I held him tightly by his head and as I pressed his chest to mine I called him father and angel ${ }^{107}$; and he himself and his son who was my equal in age and who had been in the same school of learning with me and who excelled in piety, held me in the middle of themselves as though I was some other person while they lead me to their home where they served a suitable meal. (4) In this way we then went off to the church and it was possible to see the choir, which resembled a choir of heavenly god-men or angels singing praises to God, and to each verse they supplied a Hebrew word in a single voice, ${ }^{108}$ so that one would believe that they were not humans, but a rational, harmonious creature which reechoed a marvelous sound which the prophets who have died prophesied back when they were alive.
28. And when the apostles were present they uttered sounds in no way inferior without need of interpretation, for the words which were composed in their minds were the most simple; and, indeed, it happened that the multitude who marveled at our admission received us as guests, and after we were lead down to the house again, we remained silent. (2) And on the next day I said, "Father Eusebius, why don't we burn the books of the devil?"109 (3) Then, after we did this in public, we also saw the bishop and we heard everything just as Eusebius, who appeared to
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me as an angel in human form, promised me. (4) And when saint Justina heard as well, she cut her hair and from her bride-chamber she continued administering to the poor with her dowry, since she regarded my confession as a double salvation; for Aglaïdas, after he renounced the devil who pierced him, burned the sword of his own destruction, ${ }^{110}$ since Christ devised a double salvation for us through Justina. (5) Then I also distributed my things and I was with father Eusebius who became presbyter of the church when I obtained the seal of Christ; on the next day I struggled as I preached to everyone and persuaded many to turn to the Lord, but they were also persuaded by the Lord Jesus Christ, through whom and with whom be glory unto the Father and power forever and ever, amen.
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    ${ }^{38}$ James, "A Fragment," 576.
    ${ }^{39}$ Albert Pietersma (The Apocryphon of Jannes and Jambres the Magicians: P. Chester Beatty xvi (with New Editions of Papyrus Vindobonensis Greek inv. $29456+29828$ verso and British Library Cotton Tiberius B. vf. 87) [Religions in the Graeco-Roman World 119; Leiden: Brill, 1994], 63) translates the final clause $\alpha \xi_{10 v} \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \kappa o \imath v \omega v i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ \kappa \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ a s ~ " w o r t h y ~ o f ~ f e l l o w s h i p ~$ with him [Satan]." This is incorrect; દ̇кع'ivov must refer to Jambres (and even if it were correct the Confession uses $\delta 1 \alpha \beta \beta \lambda_{0}$ throughout and never $\left.\Sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma\right)$.
    ${ }^{40}$ Pietersma, Apocryphon, 56, 60, 63.
    ${ }^{41}$ Maran ("Confessio Sancti Cypriani," 1113-14) prints $\varepsilon \cup \cup \varphi v e ́ \varsigma ~ i n ~ h i s ~ t e x t ~ a n d ~ i n ~ n o t e ~ b ~ d o e s ~$ not mention his correction but merely writes "Interpr. astutum puerum"; however, on Par. gr. 1506 fol. $175^{\mathrm{r}} 1.14$ it is clearly $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \cup \tilde{\eta}$ (similarly Vat. gr .797 fol. $120^{\mathrm{v}}$ col. a, ll. 9-10 and Vat. gr. 1809 fol. $217^{\text {v }}$ col. $a$, l. 66 [see Gitlbauer's transcription, "Die Ueberreste," 65]). One wonders if Maran had intended to note his correction, since astutum puerum (Pietersma's "a clever lad") is the only way his text can be translated. Both James and Pietersma used the Bollandist edition, which lacks even Maran's small note, and had no way of knowing about Maran's correction (cf. AASS Sept., VII [1867]: 207).

[^8]:    ${ }^{42}$ See LSJ 1093b s.v. $\mu \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha ́ \kappa ı o v . ~$
    ${ }^{43}$ Even with Maran's correction, however, such an interpretation is a stretch, and especially if it is thought that the author of the Confession was familiar with Jannes and Jambres. By this line of thought the devil's association of Cyprian to a penitent Jambres would be act of clairvoyance suggesting that the devil knew that Cyprian would also repent. The devil is, however, both surprised and angered when Cyprian renounces him, so much so that he tries to strangle him (see Conf. 13:8-12).
    ${ }^{44}$ This leaves the perplexing question as to how Jannes and Jambres came to be referred to as Poenitentia in the Decretum Gelasianum. The most probable solution is that the poenitentia or $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{v} o t \alpha$ label is not original since the text is not universally given this label. The scenario here is probably similar to that of the Penitence of Adam; although there is an Armenian text with this title, the Poenitentia Adae mentioned in the Decretum Gelasianum is most likely a reference to the pseudepigraphon known as the Life of Adam and Eve, in which, even though it too is labeled Poenitentia in some manuscripts, there is no actual confession (see Dobschütz, Das Decretum Gelasianum, 304; Pietersma, Apocryphon, 60; Michael E. Stone, The Penitence of Adam [CSCO 429; Louvain: Peeters, 1981], ix). During this time period confession literature had developed into its own genre (Elias J. Bickerman, The Jews in the Greek Age [Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1988], 205), and although these texts should not be included, their titles nonetheless may have later fallen victim to the popularity of the genre. If the speech of Jannes is considered a confession (as Pietersma suggests in "The Apocryphon of Jannes and Jambres the Magicains," in Congress Volume, Leuven 1989 [ed. J. A. Emerton; VTSup 43; Leiden: Brill, 1991], 392-93), then in terms of confession literature it is in a category all by itself.

[^9]:    ${ }^{+5}$ Note also the new fragment P. Mich. Inv. 4925, unknown to James and unavailable to Pietersma, which begins with a genealogy of Jannes and Jambres (see Ludwig Koenen, "Notes on Papyri," BASP 16 [1979]: 114-15; Georg Schmeltz, "Zwei Fragmente des Apokryphons über die Zauberer Jannes und Jambres," in Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia, Firenze, 23-29 agosto 1998 [ed. Isabella Andorlini et al.: Florence: Instituto papyrologico G. Vitelli, 2001]. 1202-7).
    ${ }^{46}$ So Pietersma, Apocryphon, 49, 63. See also my note to Conf. 3:3, which I suggest may have been inspired by the story of Jannes and Jambres.
    ${ }^{47}$ That the author was familiar with the Testament of Solomon has been noted by James, "A Fragment," 575; Howard M. Jackson, "Notes on the Testament of Solomon," JSJ 19 (1988): 52; cf. Peter Busch, Das Testament Salomos: Die älteste christliche Dämonologie, kommentiert und in deutscher Erstïbersetzung (TUGAL 153; Berlin: W. de Gruyter, 2006), 170, 184.
    ${ }^{48}$ This Coptic spell references the legend as it appears in the Confession. See Friedrich Bilabel, "Das Kyprian-Zauberbuch Inv.-No. 1684 der Heidelberger Universitätsbibliothek," in Griechische, koptische, und arabische Texte, 3()4-25; there is an English translation by Howard M. Jackson, "Erotic Spell of Cyprian of Antioch," in Ancient Christian Magic: Coptic Texts of Ritual Power (ed. Marvin W. Meyer and Richard Smith; San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 1994). 153-58 no. 73.
    ${ }^{4 .}$ Theodor Schermann ("Die griechischen Kyprianosgebete," OrChr 3 [1903|: 303-23) has made a critical text from several Greek manuscripts (cf. Friedrich Bilabel. "Texte zum Kypriangebet," in Griechische, koptische, und arabische Texte, 231-59). On the Latin prayers. see H. Leclercq, "Oratio Cypriani," DACL 12.2 (1936): 2332-45. On the Ethiopic prayers, see Adolf Grohmann, "Studien zu den Cyprianusgebeten." WZKM 30 (1916): 121-50; René Basset. Les apocryphes éthiopiens VI: Les prières de saint Cyprian et de Théophile (Paris: Bibliothèque de la Haute Science, 1896), 6-24: Stefan Strelcyn, "Prières magiques éthiopiennes pour délier les charmes (maftahe šarāy)," Rocznik Orientalistynczny 18 (1955): xlvii-lii. On the Arabic prayers, see Bilabel, "Texte zum Kypriangebet," 260-303; Graf, Geschichte, 1:662. For various magical prayers and amulets in Arabic, Coptic, and Greek, see Friedrich Bilabel, "Andere koptische, koptisch-arabische und griechische Zaubertexte, Gebete und Amulette," in Griechische, koptische, und arabische Texte, 327-414. On the Armenian prayers, see Michael E. Stone, "Some Armenian Angelological and Uranographical Texts," in Apocrypha, Pseudepigrapha, and Armenian Studies: Collected Papers ( 2 vols.; OLA 144-45; Leuven: Peeters, 2006), 416-17; cf. J. S. Wingate, "The Scroll of Cyprian: An Armenian Family Amulet," Folklore 41 (1930): 170-83.

[^10]:    ${ }^{50}$ The Secreta Cypriani, though it seemed to have disappeared, was relocated by Howard M. Jackson, who subsequently published a few select passages ("A Contribution," 38-39). The text is found just before a Latin version of the Confession in MS Digby 30 (olim A224) on fols. $1^{\mathrm{T}}-28^{\vee}$ (see William D. Macray, Catalogi codicum manuscriptorum Bibliothecae Bodleianae pars nona codices a viro clarissimo Kenelm Digby, Eq. Aur., anno 1634 donatos, complectens: Adiecto indice nominum et rerum [Oxford: E typographico Clarendoniano, 1883], 28; Falconer Madan and H. H. E. Craster, A Summary Catalogue of Western Manuscripts in the Bodleian Library at Oxford [7 vols; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1895-1953], 2.1:70 no. 1631). Hans Waitz (Die Pseudoklementinen, Homilen und Rekognitionen: Eine quellenkrtische Untersuchung [TUGAL 10; Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1904], 231) refers to a second manuscript, Par. St. Genev. 1352, but he has confused this text with an exorcistic prayer (see Charles Kohler, Catalogue des manuscrits de la Bibliothèque Saint-Geneviève [3 vols.; Paris: Plon, 1893-1896], 1:621 no. 1352). The Art of Cyprian is found in a manuscript once owned by Frederick Hockley, Glasgow Ferg. 101 fols. 64 102; see Adam McLean, "Constructing a Magical Mirror according to the Art of Cyprian," Hermetic Journal 11 (1981): 27-33. Cyprian figures briefly in other medieval grimoires as well, such as the Verus Jesuitarum Libellus, in which there is a Cyprianic invocation of angels. The Pseudo-Baconian De Nigromancia (2.4-6) provides instructions for consecrating a magical circle which involve having a priest say a mass in honor of St. Cyprian; see Michael-Albion MacDonald, De Nigromancia of Roger Bacon (Gillette, NJ: Heptangle, 1988), 13-26.
    ${ }^{51}$ Nerses of Lambron's reference (Comm. Rev. 9.7) is particularly interesting because it mentions the Confession specifically, but in reference to a ranking of greatness to smallness among the demons (see Robert W. Thomson, Nerses of Lambron: Commentary on the Revelation of Saint John [Hebrew University Armenian Studies 9; Leuven: Peeters, 2007], 28, 102); however, this concept was most likely derived from the Conversion. The Martyrdom exists in Armenian (see Mkrtich‘ Awgerean, Liakatar Vark‘ ew Vkayabanut'iwnk‘ Srboc‘ [12 vols.; Venice: n.p., 1810-1814], 4:68-105), although many elements from both the Conversion and the Confession have been worked into this version (see F. C. Conybeare, "The Armenian Acts of Cyprian," ZNW 21 (1922): 269-77; cf. the brief "Life and Deeds of Cyprian" translated by Wingate, "The Scroll of Cyprian," 183-87).
    ${ }^{52}$ For Symeon Metaphrastes' Vita et martyrium sanctorum Cypriani et Justinae, see PG 115:847-882.
    ${ }^{53}$ On the relation of Cyprian to Faust, see Theodor Zahn, Cyprian von Antiochien und die deutsche Faustsage (Erlangen: A. Deichert, 1882) 1-20; Palmer and More, The Sources of the Faust Tradition, 41-59; Gilles Quispel, "Faust," in Gnostic Studies, 2:294-98; I. P. Couliano, "Dr. Faust, Great Sodomite and Necromancer," RHR 207 (1990): 274-76; Enrica Salvaneschi, "Un Faust redento," in $\sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota \varsigma \alpha$ : Testi e studi di storia e filosofia del linguaggio religioso (ed. C. Angelino and E. Salvaneschi; Genova: Il Melangolo, 1982), 1-10. On Calderón, see W. Beyschlag, "De Cypriano mago et martyre Calderonicae tragoediae persona primaria," (Ph.D. diss., Universität Halle, 1866). The story of Cyprian was also turned into a poem by Anthony Ascham, on which see Fred C. Robinson, "'The Complaynt off Sanct Cipriane, the Grett

[^11]:    Nigromancer': A Poem by Anthony Ascham," Review of English Studies 27 (1976): 257-65; note as well the seventeenth- and eighteenth-century plays referenced by Eric Wimmer, "Cyprianus," Enzyklopädie des Märchens 3 (1999): 198-99.
    ${ }_{55}^{54}$ See Jackson, "A Contribution," 41 n. 16.
    ${ }^{55}$ A modern critical text of Or. 24 has been made by Justin Mossay, Grégoire de Nazianze, Discours 24-26: Introduction, texte critique, traduction et notes (SC 284; Paris: Cerf, 1981), 4085 (= PG 35:1169-1193); there is also the English translation of Martha Vinson, St. Gregory of Nazianzus, Select Orations (Fathers of the Church 107; Washington, D.C.: Catholic University of America Press, 2003), 142-56. For the respective martyrdoms of the two Cyprians, see Mossay, Discours 24-26, 12-16.
    ${ }^{56}$ In Conf. 10:1 Cyprian states that he, like Aglaïdas, has fallen in love with Justina. However, Gregory makes no mention of a client comparable to Aglaïdas. In the Conversion, Cyprian tells the first demon that it was summoned because he loved a maid of the Galileans, but this is immediately following Aglaïdas's consultation and it is clear that he is speaking not of his own love but rather on Aglaïdas's behalf.

[^12]:    ${ }^{57}$ Zahn, Cyprian von Antiochien, 87-90; cf. Delehaye, "Cyprien d'Antioche," 329. Gregory's statement (Or. 24.14) that Cyprian of Carthage was martyred under Decius, while certainly incorrect-Cyprian evaded the persecutions of Decius through voluntary exile (Delehaye, "Cyprien d'Antioche," 325)-similarly shows that Gregory could not have been aware of the Martyrdom of Cyprian of Antioch, in which Cyprian is martyred under Diocletian.
    ${ }^{58}$ Reitzenstein, "Cyprian der Magier," 58-59; Delehaye, "Cyprien d'Antioche," 326-32; Krestan and Hermann, "Cyprianus II (Magier)," 472. While this theory is speculative it is certainly preferable to Coman's suggestion ("Le deux Cyprien," 371) that Gregory deliberately conflated the two Cyprians.
    ${ }^{59}$ See J. M. Szymusiak, "Pour une chronologie des discours de S. Grégoire de Nazianze," VC 20 (1966): 183-84 n. 3; cf. Christopher A. Beeley, Gregory of Nazianzus on the Trinity and the Knowledge of God: In Your Light We See Light (Oxford Studies in Historical Theology; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008), 36. This is no doubt the cause of Gregory's opening remark: "We nearly forgot Cyprian!" (Or. 24.1).
    ${ }^{60}$ Several studies have been devoted to this poem, see S. Costanza, "La Conversione di Cipriano nell'Inno XIII del Peristephanon di Prudenzio," Giornale italiano di filologia 30 (1978): 174-82; Martha A. Malamud, A Poetics of Transformation: Prudentius and Classical Mythology (Cornell Studies in Classical Philology 49; Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1989), 115-48; John Petruccione, "Prudentius' Portrait of St. Cyprian: An Idealized Biography," REAug 36 (1990): 225-41; Michael Roberts, Poetry and the Cult of the Martyrs (Recentiores: Later Latin Texts and Contexts; Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1993), 109-29.

[^13]:    ${ }^{61}$ unus erat iuvenum doctissimus artibus sinistris, / fraude pudicitiam perfringere, nil sacrum putare, / saepe etiam magicum cantamen inire per sepulcra, / quo geniale tori ius solveret aestuante nupta. The translation is that of H. J. Thomson, Prudentius (2 vols; LCL 387 and 398; Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1953), 2:328-31.
    ${ }^{62}$ See Thomson, Prudentius, 2:330 n. a; Malamud, A Poetics of Transformation, 134; Roberts, Poetry and the Cult of the Martyrs, 120-21.
    ${ }^{63}$ Petruccione ("Prudentius' Portrait," 231-32) points instead to parallels in Roman elegy. Cyprian does not only use his magic to seduce virgins, but he confesses to using magic to drive married women away from their husbands (Conf. 18:14; cf. 15:3, 8; 16:2). Cyprian also refers to divination through the sounds of the dead in their tombs (Conf. 2:3); this, however, is not in reference to erotic magic but to necromancy (as is the case with the examples cited by Petruccione, "Prudentius' Portrait," 231-32 n. 18).
    ${ }^{64}$ See Reitzenstein, "Cyprian der Magier," 64-65; Delehaye, "Cyprien d'Antioche," 331-32; Krestan and Hermann, "Cyprianus II (Magier)," 472-73.
    ${ }^{65}$ Petruccione, "Prudentius' Portrait," 230. The Italian scholar Rufinus is known to have translated eight of Gregory's orations, but Gregory's panegyric on Cyprian is not one of themaccording to Delehaye ("Cyprien d'Antioche," 331) Prudentius could not have been aware of Gregory's panegyric. Costanza's suggestion ("La Conversione," 177 n. 2) that Prudentius may

[^14]:    ${ }^{69}$ Peter van Deun, "The Poetical Writings of the Empress Eudocia: An Evaluation," in Early Christian Poetry: A Collection of Essays (ed. J. den Boeft and A. Hilhorst; VCSup 22; Leiden: Brill, 1993), 277-82; Wilson, Photius, 176 n. 1.
    ${ }^{70}$ The texts of the Conversion and Confession, however, may be of text-critical value for Eudocia's metaphrasis. For textual criticism of De Sancto Cypriano with reference to the three recensions of the Conversion and the two recensions of the Confession, see Claudio Bevegni, "Note a Eudocia, 'De Sancto Cypriano' I 5 e I 32," Sandalion 4 (1981): 183-89; idem, "Due note testuali ad Eudocia, De Sancto Cypriano I 275 e II 43," Sandalion 5 (1982): 277-82; idem, "Per una nuova edizione del De Sancto Cypriano dell'imperatrice Eudocia: Primi passi," FuturAntico 1 (2003): 29-46; idem, "Per una nuova edizione del De Sancto Cypriano dell'imperatrice Eudocia: Note ed esegetische," Nea Rhome 1 (2004): 35-44; idem, "Il viaggio di istruzione al male del mago Cipriano: Due note," Itineraria 3-4 (2004-2005): 51-56; idem, "Sui modelli del De Sancto Cypriano dell'imperatrice Eudocia," in Approches de la Troisième Sophistique: Hommages à Jacques Schamp (ed. Eugenio Amato; Collection Latomus 296; Brussels: Éditions Latomus, 2006), 389-405.
    ${ }^{71}$ This has been noted by Bevegni, "Il viaggio," 53; idem, "Sui modelli del De Sancto Cypriano," 400-401.

[^15]:    ${ }^{72}$ Maran, "Confessio Sancti Cypriani," 1105-40 (I have used the 1758 edition).
    ${ }^{73}$ I have used the 1867 edition, "Confessio seu Poenitentia S. Cypriani, editore et interprete Prudentio Marano Benedictino, cum aliis editis collata," AASS Sept., VII (1867): 204-224. The editor adopted the majority of Maran's suggested corrections and in a few places made new ones. This edition is unfortunately marred by myriad typesetting errors. The Greek text is accompanied by Maran's Latin translation, which Lynn Thorndike (History of Magic and Experimental Science [8 vols; New York: Macmillan, 1923-1958], 1:428 n. 1) mistook for an ancient Latin translation.
    ${ }^{74}$ There are two complete French translations, the first made by Jules Eudes Mirville, De esprits: De l'Esprit-Saint et du miracle dans les six premiers et les six derniers siècles de notre ère, spécialement des resurrections de morts, des exorcisms, apparitions, transports, etc. Extraits des Bollandistes et des Procès de canonisation (Tome 6; troisième mémoire; Manifestations thaumaturgiques 1; Paris: F. Wattelier, 1868), 174-83 and the second by Pierre Grimal, Romans grecs et latins (Bibliothèque de la Pléiade 134; Paris: Gallimand, 1958), 1385-1413. There is also the partial French translation of Conf. 1:4-2:5 made by Picard, "Mantique," 205-6. The German translation is that of Zahn (Cyprian von Antiochien, 30-63) and there is an Italian translation made by Stephano Fulmagalli (Cipriano di Antiochia, Confessione: La prima versione del mito di Faust nella letteratura antica [Milan: Associazione culturale Mimesis, 1994], 35-75). In English there are only partial translations; Nock ("Cyprian of Antioch," 412) translated Conf. 1:6-2:1, which Nilsson ("Greek Mysteries," 168-69) took up and extended to Conf. 1:4-2:5.
    ${ }^{75}$ Gitlbauer, "Die Ueberreste," 59-80, 95-109 with pls. XII-XIV.
    ${ }^{76}$ Picard ("Mantique," 205 n. 6) is incorrect in his assertion that there are Syriac and Arabic versions of the Confession; there are Syriac and Arabic versions of the Conversion and Martyrdom (see notes 21-22), but not of the Confession.

[^16]:    ${ }^{87}$ Robert Devreesse, Codices Vaticani Graeci: Codices 604-866 (Bibliothecae Apostolicae Vaticanae codices manuscripti; Rome: Typis Polyglottis Vaticanis, 1950), 323-25. There are consistently 27 lines of script throughout the text of the Confession, except for the first column of the first folio, the last column of the last folio, and fols. $138^{\text {r-v }}$; folio $136^{\mathrm{r}}$ col. $a$ has 26 lines, which
    
    ${ }^{88}$ The same title is found in the following manuscripts of the Conversion: Par. gr. 1454; Pal. gr. 68 (with $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} \xi \iota \iota$ instead of $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \xi_{1 \varsigma)}$ ) Vat gr. 866 (with $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ instead of $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \xi_{1}$ ); Barb. gr.
     Quellen, 76-77.
    ${ }^{89}$ It may also be significant that a fols. 9-10, which would have contained Conf. 15:3-22:20, are missing from Par. copt. $129^{15}$ (see von Lemm, Sahidische Bruchstücke, 15-16, 38). This new material is, however, preserved in the Coptic text of Pier. Morg. M609-which diverges from the Greek text in several places with certain portions omitted and many others interpolated (see Bilabel, "Studien," 121-22, 186-87)-as well as in the Slavonic version (see von Lemm, Sahidische Bruchstücke, xii).
    ${ }_{90}$ Omont, Inventaire sommaire, 2:71; Ehrhard, Überlieferung, 1:405-7; Garitte, "La tradition manuscrite," 198; Halkin, Manuscrits grecs, 179.

[^17]:    ${ }^{91}$ Gitlbauer, "Die Ueberreste," 34.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1} \delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$, "fearing of the gods," can also mean "superstitious" (LSJ 375b); the author perhaps intends this as a pun given the content of what precedes it in 1:2.
    ${ }_{2}$ The idiom $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ ơvó $\chi \omega v$ means "from childhood" (LSJ 1234a s.v. őv $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ )\end{array}\right)$ note also Philostratus's expression that Apollonius of Tyana in his boyhood was $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ oi véo $\tau \tilde{v} v \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \tau \tilde{v} v$ $\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \tilde{\varphi} \mu \varepsilon ̀ v \tau \tilde{\varphi} \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\varphi}($ Vit. Apoll. 1.7.3).
    ${ }^{3}$ This is a reference to the Stepterion, a rite which symbolized the killing of the dragon Python by Apollo; cf. Plutarch, Quaest. graec. 12 and Def. orac. 418 A-B; Aelian, Var. hist. 3.1; Philostratus, Imag. 2.24; Preller, "Beiträge," 350; Nilsson, "Greek Mysteries," 170.
    ${ }^{4}$ There is epigraphic evidence for the initiation of children in Mithraism, see Nock, "Cyprian of Antioch," 411; Cumont, Textes et monuments, 2:54 no. 6b, 2:93 no. 10 (= Vermaseren, CIMRM no. 403). Cumont ("The Dura Mithraeum," in Mithraic Studies, 1:159), however, doubts the authenticity of Confession's attestation.
    ${ }^{5}$ It is improbable that Cyprian could have been a $\delta \alpha \delta o v ̃ \chi o \varsigma$ in the Eleusinian mysteries; see Nock, "Cyprian of Antioch," 411 ; Nilsson, "Greek Mysteries," 170.
    ${ }^{6}$ Preller ("Beiträge," 350) calls this a contradiction, but the Ephepi later wore white garments, instead of the traditional black, in the procession to Eleusis; see Nock, "Cyprian of Antioch," 411.
    ${ }^{7}$ Cf. Herodotus, Hist. 8.41
    ${ }^{8}$ Both Nock ("Cyprian of Antioch," 412 n. 1) and Nilsson ("Greek Mysteries," 171) have
     The context (cf. 1:9) seems to suggest more precisely "the intercourse of the echo" in reference to traditions in which demons are born from the echo of a heavenly voice. See Jackson's comments on T. Sol. 4:8, L.A.B. 53.3-4, 60.3, and PGM XIII. 192-204, 522-546 ("Notes," 32-37; "Echoes and Demons in the Pseudo-Philonic Liber Antiquitatum Biblicarum," JSJ 27 [1996]: 1-20).

[^19]:    ${ }^{9}$ Both Eudocia (De. S. Cypriano 2.35) and V have forty-eight days rather than forty.
    ${ }^{10}$ Over a purificatory period of twenty-nine days the Chaldaean magician Mithrobarzanes puts Menippus on a diet of fruits, see Lucian, Men. 7.
    ${ }^{11}$ The reference is obscure. Nilsson ("Greek Mysteries," 173) suggests that the seven hierophants are the seven celestial bodies; Festugière (Révélation, 39 n .1 ), however, refers to a parallel from the mysteries of Sabazios.
    
    
    ${ }^{13}$ Cf. Pausanias, Descr. 2.38.3. Pausanias mentions only that the mysteries (Pausanias also uses the term $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta$ ) of Hera concerned a place in which she bathed yearly and regained her virginity. In what follows, the author of the Confession seems to be referring in part to the marriage of Zeus and Hera (Preller, "Beiträge," 351); Zeus is commonly associated with the element ether (on which see A. B. Cook, Zeus, 1:25-33) and Plato associates Hera with the element air (Crat. 404 C; cf. Rosamond K. Sprague, "Empedocles, Hera, and Cratylus 404 C," CR 22 [1927]: 169). On the union of earth and water, see Nilsson, "Greek Mysteries," 174-75.
    ${ }^{14}$ Cf. Pausanias, Descr. 3.16.7, 11; Nilsson, "Greek Mysteries," 175-76.
    ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~V}$ 's variant oì $\omega v \tilde{\omega} v$ к $\rho \circ$ v́ $\mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ("the pluckings of birds") suggests alectryomancy.

[^20]:    ${ }^{16}$ Cf. Pseudo-Melampus's Пعрì $\pi \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} v \mu \alpha \nu \tau ı \kappa \eta ́$.
    ${ }^{17}$ I have tentatively accepted SV's $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon 1 \zeta$ as a reference to the removal of warts by magical means. However, if divination by warts is meant, then P 's $\alpha v \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon ı \zeta$ should be retained; cf. Pseudo-Melampus's Пعрì $\varepsilon$ દ̀ $\alpha ı \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau 0 v ̃ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma . ~$
    ${ }^{18}$ The reference is to isopsephy, see, e.g., Jerome, Comm. Am. 5.9-10. I have not adopted Gitlbauer's emendation, sensible as it seems, since it does not appear in any manuscript.
    ${ }^{19}$ Zahn (Cyprian, 33 with n. 3) translates $\tau \tilde{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta v ́ \tau \omega v$ as "die verborgensten Geheimnisse" and suggests that these cannot be the underground adyta which are mentioned in Lucian, Philops. 34 and Gregory Nazianzus, Or. 4.55 -if so, according to Zahn, the $\pi \varepsilon \rho^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ should be $\varepsilon \pi i \gamma \varepsilon ı \alpha$ (the reading of V ). The suggestion is reasonable given that Gregory uses the phrase $\dot{v} \pi o \chi$ Øoviors $\delta \alpha^{\prime} \mu \circ \sigma 1$, but the adyta need not be subterranean. Note Arnobius's refutation (Adv. nat. 43) of a tradition that Jesus stole the names of powerful angels while learning magic in Egyptian adyta.
    ${ }^{20}$ тó $\pi$ oऽ here refers to a position on the Zodiac; Ptolemy (Tetr. 128) used the term to refer to the twelve regions of $30^{\circ}$. The $\pi \varepsilon \rho^{\prime} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ may be a reference to the Egyptian decans (cf. Origen, Cels. 8.58). The astrological sense of $\tau$ ó $\pi \circ \subset / \pi \varepsilon \rho^{\prime} \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha$ fits better here than V's $\tau \rho o ́ \pi \sigma \subset / \dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \gamma \varepsilon ı \alpha$.
    ${ }^{21} \mathrm{Cf}$. the rulers of the darkness ( $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \Delta \mathrm{PX} \Phi \mathrm{N} \overline{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{K} \Delta K \in$ ) in Pistis Sophia 104, 201, 373.
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[^22]:    ${ }^{22}$ Both Zahn (Cyprian von Antiochien, 34) and Grimal (Romans grecs, 1391) incorrectly translate $\tilde{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \gamma v \omega v$ in this sentence as "I saw." The sense seems to be that Cyprian learned how to magically induce natural disasters. This may have been inspired by traditions concerning Jannes and Jambres, who, according to Numenius, were able to replicate all the plagues of Moses (Eusebius, Praep. ev. 9.8). Jannes probably induces the earthquake in Jan. Jam. 25a ${ }^{\text {r }}$ (see Pietersma, Apocryphon, 138). A reference to Jannes and Jambres occurs at the beginning of this section in the Coptic version (von Lemm, Sahidische Bruchstücke, 4; Gero, "Parerga," 78 n. 30).
    ${ }^{23}$ Concerning the souls of giants Zahn (Cyprian, 34 n .2 ) referenced biblical traditions (Gen 6:4; 2 Pet $2: 4$; Jude 6 ), but these were more likely derived from the myth of Atlas and the Titans; Kákosy ("'Cyprien'," 110-11) suggested that they could possibly refer to the Egyptian Bouto.
    ${ }^{24}$ Gitlbauer transposed this clause to the end of 3:6, in which case भिv refers to the earth. I find this highly unlikely-the order of clauses is the same in all Greek and Coptic manuscripts-and instead adopt the Bollandist editor's suggested emendation $\alpha \varsigma$ in reference to $i \delta \varepsilon ́ \alpha \alpha$. The error was present in the text used by Eudocia, who tried to correct the error with the incorrect form $\chi \omega$ 白 $\eta v$.
    ${ }^{25}$ Maran's punctuation is certainly incorrect and the cause of Grimal's "chevelue comme de mille langues" (Romans grecs, 1391). It makes more sense that the form of treachery or cunning would be naturally gifted (the meaning of $\sigma \hat{\mu} \varphi \varphi \tau \tau \bigcirc$ with the dative) at languages.

[^23]:    ${ }^{26} \gamma \rho \cup \pi$ ótns, "hookedness of the nose," was often considered an admirable trait (Socrates [Plato, Rep. 5.19 ( 474 D )] calls a youth with such a nose "kingly"), but this cannot be the case here. Dwarfs, satyrs, and comically ugly men were often depicted with large hooked noses as well as large misshapen phalluses, both of which were considered a sign of ugliness (see H. A. Shapiro, "Notes on Greek Dwarfs," AJA 88 (1984): 391-92; K. J. Dover, Greek Homosexuality [London: Duckworth, 1978], 71; for a good example, see the grotesque head in Clairève Grandjouan, The Athenian Agora 6: Terracottas and Plastic Lamps [Princeton: American School of Classical Studies at Athens, 1961], pl. 29 no. 1036). Note too that here the body of the form of hookedness is phallic-shaped. The form which follows that of hookedness, the form of commerce, is described specifically as a dwarf ( $\kappa \omega \lambda \omega \operatorname{BOC} / \kappa 0 \lambda 0 \beta$ ós) in the two Coptic manuscripts (see Bilabel, "Studien," 76 col. 1; von Lemm, Sahidische Bruchstücke, 8 col. 2; cf. Kákosy, "'Cyprien’ en
    
    ${ }^{27}$ Maran's correction to к $\alpha \rho \sigma$ iov ("crosswise") is a stretch. Gitlbauer's correction to к $\alpha \rho v v^{\prime} 0 v$, which is the same noun used by Eudocia (De S. Cypriano 2.163), is much more reasonable. All manuscripts have к $\alpha \rho o i ́ o v$; although it is a feminine noun, к $\alpha \rho o i ́ \alpha$, "walnut" (Lampe, PGL 703b) may have been intended.

[^24]:    ${ }^{28}$ The forms in Conf. 4 may have been inspired by the demonic personifications of abstractions and vices in T. Sol. 8:2-11 where Solomon encounters the seven heavenly bodies of this world of darkness, of which the most relevant are Deception ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta$ ), Strife ( $\varepsilon$ pıs), Distress ( $\zeta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ ), Error ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \eta$ ), and Power ( $\delta v ́ v \alpha \mu \iota \varsigma$ ). The demon of murder ( $\varphi$ óvo૬), which is described as "a man (who had) all his limbs, but no head" (T. Sol. 9:1) is particularly reminiscent of this section. Similar personifications are also found in NHC II,5 On the Origin of the World 106,1934 , which is probably also dependent on the Testament of Solomon.
    ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Cf}$. Orac. chald. $51,61,85$.
    ${ }^{30}$ I have adopted V's $\chi \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \varepsilon v \alpha$ over the $\chi \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon$ vovऽ of PS, in which case all of the participles in this sentence are neuter plural; $\varepsilon_{\kappa} \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma$ often takes a plural verb, but this still does not explain why all of the participles would be neuter when $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o \varsigma$ is a masculine noun. $V$ has resolved the problem by adding $\varepsilon i \delta \omega \dot{\lambda} \lambda 01 \varsigma \kappa \tau \lambda$., but this is certainly an interpolation. The passage equally troubled Zahn (Cyprian von Antiochien, 37), who has a lengthy ellipsis in his translation: "365 Tropen . . . . . . (und lehrten mich), daß sie . . . ." There is a plurality of ethers in Orac. chald. 98.

[^25]:    ${ }^{31}$ These ideas were probably derived from and inveigh against the concept of the will of the Father in the Chaldaean Oracles; Orac. chald. 81 provides a particularly striking parallel: "All things yield to the intellectual Lightning-bolts of the intellectual Fire, serving the persuasive will ( $\pi \varepsilon \imath \varepsilon_{1} v^{\prime} \delta_{1} \beta o v \lambda \tilde{n}$ ) of the Father" (trans. Ruth Majercik, The Chaldean Oracles: Text, Translation, and Commentary [Studies in Greek and Roman Religion 5; Leiden: Brill, 1989], 81). Cf. Orac. chald. 37, 77, 107.
    ${ }^{32}$ Plutarch (Is. Os. 46) mentions that the Persians gave Mithras the name $\mu \varepsilon \sigma^{\prime} i \tau \eta$ since he was midway between the god of light and the god of darkness.
    ${ }^{33}$ The phrase öpkoıs ííors may also have been inspired by late-antique (mis)conceptions of "Persian" mysteries; cf. Tertullian's description of the Mithraic crown oath-ritual (Cor. 15).
    ${ }^{34}$ Maran corrected $\varepsilon \cup \cup \varphi v \tilde{\eta}$ to $\varepsilon \cup \jmath \varphi v \varepsilon ́ \varsigma$ to agree with $\mu \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha ́ \kappa ı \nu$, but this is both unnecessary and lessens the force of $\mu \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \kappa ı \nu$. The term $\mu \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha \kappa ı \nu$ is generally used to refer to persons from twenty to twenty-one years of age or younger; when it is used in reference to adults, however, it is used in a contemptuous sense (see LSJ 1093b), which is the case here since Cyprian is thirty years old at this point (cf. Conf. 5:4). This makes sense since Jambres was the lesser of the two Egyptian magicians. It is also in this sense that the following imperative $\alpha v \delta \rho_{i}^{\prime} \zeta o v$ in $6: 9$ should be understood. See my comments in the introduction (section 2.3).

[^26]:    ${ }^{35}$ There is a similar scene in Act Pet. Paul 16 where in a dream Paul sees one who can only be the devil or a high-ranking demon sitting on a golden seat surrounded by a multitude of demons ( $\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta_{0} \varsigma \mu \alpha v ́ \rho \omega v$ ) who report their evil deeds.
    ${ }^{36}$ кvĩ $\sigma \alpha$, cf. Homer, Il. 1.317.

[^27]:    ${ }^{37}$ The verb $\sigma v \mu \mu о \rho \varphi \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta$ is attested elsewhere only in a Byzantine work by Theophylatcus (see Paul Gautier, Theophylacte d'Achrida: Discours, Traités, Poésies [Corpus fontium historiae Byzantiniae, Series Thessalonicensis 16.1; Thessalonica: Association de Recherches Byzantines, 1980], 147 ll. 6-7). Gautier translates with "adopte," Zahn (Cyprian von Antiochien, 39) with "bildet," and Grimal (Romans grecs, 1394) with "façonne." However, this is not $\sigma v \mu \mu о р \varphi$ ' $\zeta \circ \mu{ }_{\imath}$ or $\sigma v \mu \mu о \rho \varphi о ́ \sigma \mu \alpha 1$ nor it is from $\mu о \rho \varphi \alpha ́ \omega$ or $\mu о \rho \varphi o ́ \omega$, but rather $\mu о \rho \varphi \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$, "to use gesticulations"; here $\sigma v \mu \mu о \rho \varphi \alpha \zeta o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ s e e m s ~ t o ~ i m p l y ~ s o m e ~ s o r t ~ o f ~ a ~ m a g i c a l ~ o r ~ c r e a t i v e ~ g e s t u r e . ~$
    ${ }^{38}$ I am doubtful of the originality of Conf. 8:2 and have placed it in half-brackets. There is no negative in $8: 1$ of the long recension of PS, in which case Cyprian during all this time has wanted to come to God (which would contradict 17:6) and was aware of the debility of the dragon (which would contradict the whole of Eusebius's argument in Conf. 22 that Cyprian both acted out of ignorance and was deceived by the devil; cf. 24:3, which explicitly references $8: 1$ ). There is a negative in $8: 1$ of the short recension of $V$, in which $8: 2$ is missing entirely. It seems to me highly likely that the negative was unintentionally omitted at some point and that the content of $8: 2$ was added in order to make the sense of $8: 1$ without a negative less awkward. If such was the case then the error, but not necessarily the addition, occurred at an early date since Eudocia's metaphrasis
    

[^28]:    ${ }^{41}$ This is the text of the long recension of Conf. 9:1-9; V's text is much shorter: "Then, when I passed from the land of Chaldaea, while working wonders near Antioch and creating spectacles with my magic art and offering zeal both for love and envy and for fleshly wickedness as well, a certain refined lad Aglaïdas, among other comparable ones, approached me asking for a favor concerning a certain virgin named Justina in order to prevail over her. Then the beginning of the devil's inefficiencies and illusions became clear to me . . ." (here the text continues with 9:10). Eudocia's metaphrasis (De S. Cypriano 2.296-306 [Ludwich, Eudociae Augustae, 67-68]) closely follows V here (see Bevegni, "Il viaggio," 53; idem, "Sui modelli del De Sancto Cypriano," 400401). Although Eudocia omits material from other parts of the Confession, it seems probable that the contents of Conf. 9:1-9 were not present in the recension which she possessed in the fifth century C.E. and hence that V may be the more original text.
    ${ }^{42}$ The Greek text here is a corrected text of P (with Maran's supplement tòv attested by SV), which is certainly the lectio difficilior. $\delta \alpha \iota \mu$ óvı $\alpha$ must be nominative and agree with $\varepsilon v^{\prime} \neq \eta$ (which is truer to the story than SV's rí $\quad \tau 1 \varsigma$ ) and cannot be an accusative of $\delta \alpha \mu$ óviov as Maran suggested since the Confession uses the noun $\delta \alpha^{\prime} \mu \omega v$ exclusively when referring to demons.

[^29]:    ${ }^{45}$ This narrative is drastically different than that found in the Conversion, although there are some superficial parallels. In Radermacher's third recension of the Conversion (ch. 4) the first demon which Cyprian conjures orders him to sprinkle a philtre around Justina's house and tells
     manuscripts have tòv $\pi \alpha \tau \rho$ tóv). The third demon in the Conversion (ch. 8) is also a shape-shifter, but there the demon appears at the door of Justina in the form of a young maiden.
    ${ }^{46}$ Gitlbauer punctuates after $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \chi \cup v o ́ \mu \eta v$ in 11:3 since the dative $\tau \tilde{\eta} \ldots . . \varepsilon \cup \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon_{i} \alpha$ appears in V rather than the genitive $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma . . . \varepsilon \cup ๋ \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma$ of PS. I find the latter somewhat more probable since the rapid succession of verbs in 11:3 is characteristic of the author, see, e.g., 12:10, 13:1, 13:5.
    ${ }^{47}$ Cf. Apuleius, Metam. 3.21 where the witch Pamphile transforms herself into an owl so that she can fly off to her lover.

[^30]:    ${ }^{48}$ Justina's action here, although much tamer, was probably derived from the Conversion (ch. 3) where Justina throws Aglaïdas to the ground and beats him black and blue after he attempts to rape her.
    ${ }^{49}$ The third demon ("the father of all demons") conjured by Cyprian in the Conversion (ch. 7) agitates Justina with diverse fevers for six days.
    ${ }^{50}$ There remains a slight possibility that this sentence is a continuation of Justina's dialogue, in which case $\alpha \cup \mathfrak{u} \tilde{n}$ can only refer back to $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon i \alpha c$. If the sentence is taken this way, it means, "For what did we [sc. Justina and her parents] not do or what action did we not perform against it [sc. the disease]." It seems more probable, however, even though it disrupts the narrative, that this is a parenthetical remark made by Cyprian given V's clarification of $\alpha \hat{\tau} \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\eta}$ with $\tau \tilde{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon ́ v \varphi$, in which case it means, "For what did we [sc. Cyprian, Aglaïdas, the devil, dragon, and demons] not do or what action did we not perform against her [sc. Justina]." The sense here is that for all the energy exerted by Cyprian and his retinue, Justina remains virtually unscathed with only a mere fever. This is the sense of Zahn's translation (Cyprian von Antiochien, 44).

[^31]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^32]:    ${ }^{51}$ This scene change is surprisingly sudden, but characteristic of the author, see, e.g., 9:1, 27:1. This dialogue must also take place at the church, but Cyprian's public confession before the Christian audience of Antioch does not begin until 15:1.
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[^34]:    ${ }^{52}$ Luke 23:34.

[^35]:    ${ }^{53}$ Cf. Eusebius, Eccl. hist. 8.14; Lucan, Pharsalia 6.557-560; PGM IV. 2574-2591; T. Sol. 13:3-4; Jean-Jacques Aubert, "Threatened Wombs: Aspects of Ancient Uterine Magic," GRBS 30 (1989): 435-38; David Frankfurter, "Fetus Magic and Sorcery Fears in Roman Egypt," GRBS 46 (2006): 37-62.
    ${ }^{54}$ The phrase $\dot{\boldsymbol{j}} \mathbf{\pi} \mathbf{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \tau \tilde{n} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ is probably a reference to a necromantic pit; see Ogden, Greek and Roman Necromancy, 168-69.
    ${ }^{55}$ Cf. Philostratus, Vit. Apoll. 7.11.3 where Apollonius of Tyana is accused of butchering a young boy for necromantic purposes; cf. note 58.
    ${ }_{57}^{56}$ Cf. Prudentius, Contra orationem Symmachi, 1.395-396.
    ${ }^{57}$ This is likely a reference to cephalomancy. Although it is from a medieval Arabic grimoire, note the cephalomantic spell in Picatrix 3.7.40, which specifically calls for the decapitation of a dark-skinned youth from Cypress; see Hellmut Ritter and Martin Plessner, "Picatrix": Das Ziel des Weisen von Pseudo-Mağriṭịi (Studies of the Warburg Institute 27; London: The Warburg Institute, 1962), 240-41. On cephalomancy see Ogden, Greek and Roman Necromancy, 208-16.
    ${ }^{58}$ On this common Christian polemic, see J. Rives, "Human Sacrifice among Pagans and Christians," JRS 85 (1995): 78-83.

[^36]:    ${ }^{59}$ The accusers of Apuleius of Madauros referenced his pederastic poems as evidence that he practiced magic (see Apuleius, Apol. 9-13, 42-46). It was also suggested that Hadrian's boy-lover Antinous offered himself to be killed for necromantic purposes (Dio Cassius, 69.11; Juvenal, 6.548-552). Philostratus's account of the accusation that Apollonius of Tyana sacrificed a beautiful Arcadian boy is also replete with pederastic overtones (see Vit. Apoll. 7.11; 8.5-7); cf. Cicero, Vat. 14; Ps.-Clem. Rec. 2.13, 15. Note also the demon Ornias's penchant for pederasty in T. Sol. 2:3. In general see Ogden, Greek and Roman Necromancy, 196-201.
    ${ }^{60}$ Cf. Conf. 15:3; 18:8.
    ${ }^{61}$ Zahn (Cyprian von Antiochien, 49) is certainly correct that the mysteries referred to here must be the Christian mysteries (cf. Conf. 1:1).

[^37]:    ${ }^{62}$ Cf. 1 Tim 3:8; Conf. 6:6. For the text of the pseudepigraphon, see Pietersma, Apocryphon, 91-281; see also Albert Pietersma and R. T. Lutz, "Jannes and Jambres," in Old Testament Pseudepigrapha (ed. J. H. Charlesworth; 2 vols. Garden City: Doubleday, 1983-1985), 2:427-42.
    ${ }^{63}$ Cf. Exod 8:19.
    ${ }^{64}$ This statement seems to concur with Jan. Jam. $23 \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{v}}$ where Jannes tells his brother Jambres, "but now there is no forgiveness for us." However, one cannot be absolutely certain that Jannes is referring to himself and his brother since the text is so fragmentary (see Pietersma, Apocryphon, 237). See also my comments in the introduction (section 2.3).
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[^39]:    ${ }^{65}$ This phrase likely refers back to Conf. 15:3 and 16:1, in which case Cyprian means that he drew foreigners to him through his magic so that he could kill them for necromantic purposes (see note 56).
    ${ }^{66}$ Cf. Lucian, Philops. 13 where the Peripatetic Cleodemus ascribes the same actions to a Hyperborean magician.
     Gitlbauer in placing it with 18:12 as it seems more reasonable syntactically and makes Cyprian seem much more cruel. Procopius of Caesarea (History of the Wars 3.20.13; 3.21.18) refers to an annual storm which sailors called the Cypriana. According to Procopius the storm was given this name simply because it generally came around the same time that the Libyans celebrated the festival of St. Cyprian of Carthage; it is tempting to consider this as another passage in which the legend of Cyprian of Antioch has influenced a story about the historical bishop of Carthage (see my comments in the introduction, sections 3.1 and 3.2).
    ${ }^{68}$ Eusebius (Eccl. hist. 8.14) says the same of the necromancer Maxentius.

[^40]:    ${ }^{69}$ Since Cyprian nowhere confesses to persuading others to murder as P's $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota$ would indicate, I have accepted SV's $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma 1 \alpha \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha$ in reference to $18: 8$ where Cyprian confesses to persuading old men to die.
    ${ }^{70}$ There is a close relationship here to the Pistis Sophia, in which the phrase "outer darkness" ( $\cap К \boldsymbol{K} К \in \in T 2$ IBOA) is used repeatedly (e.g., 201, 259, 306, 307, 316, 373). In the text Jesus gives it the following definition: "The outer darkness is a great dragon whose tail is in its mouth, and it is outside the whole world, and it surrounds the whole world" (317; trans. Violet MacDermot, Pistis Sophia [NHS 9; Leiden: Brill, 1978], 635).
    ${ }^{71}$ Maran punctuates after $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v$ and begins a new sentence at $\sigma \omega \pi \tilde{\omega}$. The reason for this is P's $\delta v v \alpha$ óv (so $S$ ); it would make little sense if Cyprian remained silent because he thought that he was able to approach God, but this problem still remains even with Maran's punctuation, for which reason I adopt V's $\dot{\alpha} \delta v v \alpha \tau o ́ v$.

[^41]:    ${ }^{72}$ The order in which the $8 \tau \imath$ clauses appear in $V$ must be a scribal error-perhaps caused by homoeoteleuton, but somehow the error was caught and no text was omitted.
    ${ }^{73}$ I have accepted Gitlbauer's correction from $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau 01 \varsigma$ ("unutterable") to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \tau 0 \pi \tau$ ("battle-cries") as well as his addition of the comma which follows it. Since Maran retained $\alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau 01 \varsigma$ (the accentuation of PSV), his text lacks Gitlbauer's punctuation: ov̋ $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau 01 \varsigma$
    

[^42]:    ${ }^{78}$ On Ajax's suicide, see Sophocles, Ajax 815-865; cf. Homer, Od. 11.543-564.
    ${ }^{79}$ On Orestes' murder of Clytemnestra, see Aeschylus, Cho. 930; for Medea's murder of her sons, see Euripides, Med: 1236-1250. Theseus did not kill Hippolytus with a sword, which is perhaps why k $\alpha \tau \alpha$ is employed here rather than the dative. Theseus used a wish to request his death; Poseiden sent a sea-monster to frighten Hippolytus's horses and Hippolytus was thrown from his chariot and dragged to death (see Euripides, Hipp. 1173-1248).
    ${ }^{80}$ Cf. Homer, Od. 1.35-39. Here V abruptly ends; the scribe stopped copying and left nearly the entire third column of fol. 218 blank. This leaves $S$ as the only source for 22:10-17.
    ${ }^{81}$ Perdiccas ( $360-321$ B.C.) was a general of Alexander. The "double love" refers to Nicaea and Cleopatra. Perdiccas requested his enemy Antipater's daughter Nicea's hand in marriage, but at the same time was offered Olypias's daughter Cleopatra's hand in marriage. He broke off his engagement with Nicea and planned to marry Cleopatra, but was murdered shortly thereafter.
    ${ }^{82} \mathrm{Cf}$. Sophocles, Oedipus tyrannus.

[^43]:    ${ }^{83}$ The most famous example of a palinode, a poem in which the view of an earlier poem is retracted, is the $\Pi \alpha \lambda_{\mathrm{l}} \downarrow \varphi \delta_{i} \alpha$ of Stesichorus (sixth century b.c.E.), a retraction or recantation of his poem ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \varepsilon ́ v \eta$, which closely followed Homer's account. Stesichorus supposedly went blind after writing ' $E \lambda \varepsilon$ źv $\eta$ since the poem angered Helen and regained his sight after composing $\Pi \alpha \lambda ı v \varphi \delta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \alpha$, which took all blame for the Trojan War away from Helen (cf. Plato, Phaedr. 243 A; David Sider, "The Blinding of Stesichorus," Hermes 117 [1989]: 423-31). But the Palinode itself-probably even the legend surrounding it-was not so much self-correction as it was intended to denigrate Homer's account and offer an alternative story.
    ${ }_{85}^{84}$ Error here refers specifically to the error which comes from the dragon, cf. Conf. 3:7, 22:6.
    ${ }^{85} \mathrm{~S}$ 's $\psi v \chi \alpha ́ \varsigma \tau \tilde{\varphi} \theta \varepsilon \oplus \tilde{\varphi}$ is probably not original; cf. Conf. 27:2 where a similar phrase occurs.

[^44]:    ${ }^{86} 1$ Tim 1:13.
    ${ }^{87}$ Cf. Acts 19:19.
    ${ }^{88}$ Cf. Dan 3-4.
    ${ }^{89}$ On Manasseh, see $2 \mathrm{Kgs} 21 ; 2 \mathrm{Chr} 33$.
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[^46]:    ${ }_{95}$ Cf. 1 Kings 21.
    ${ }^{96}$ Ezek 33:11.
    ${ }^{97}$ The formula $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota$ кv́pıo̧ gives this passage the appearance of a biblical citation, however, like Zahn (Cyprian yon Antiochien, 58 n . 4), I have not been able to find a similar quote elsewhere. It could conceivably be a Christian interpretation of Isa 65:20.
    ${ }^{98}$ Cf. Matt 18:10-14; Luke 15:3-7; Gos. Thom. 107; Gos. Truth 31,35-32,30.
    ${ }^{99}$ Cf. Luke 23:43.
    ${ }^{100}$ Cf. Heb 1:4.

[^47]:    ${ }^{101}$ Cf. Mark 2:17.
    ${ }^{102}$ Reading $\alpha$ vitoṽ of NS. P’s $\omega \varsigma$ vitóc ôऽ (cf. Heb 1:2) seems to be interpolated text and was probably incited by the prior references from the Epistle to the Hebrews (cf. Conf. 25:5, 7).
    ${ }^{103}$ Isa 30:15.
    ${ }^{104} 1$ Tim 2:14.

[^48]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^49]:     great lengths to show that Maran was incorrect in translating $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} s$ with cras. But in 28:2 all manuscripts have $\tau \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} S$ (the day on which Cyprian goes to church [28:4]) and 28:3 appears to refer back to this passage: "we also saw the bishop and we heard everything just as Eusebius . . . promised me."

[^50]:    ${ }^{106}$ Cf. Conf. 7:9 where Cyprian mentions that the devil produces the substance of dreams (ǒvelpos) which come by night. The five-tiered taxonomy of dreams in ancient oneiromancy was divided into two main types, meaningful and non-meaningful dreams. The term ơveıpos was classed as a meaningful type, and the term used in this passage, ह̇vótviov, was classed as a nonmeaningful type; see A. H. M. Kessels, "Ancient Systems of Dream Classifications," Mnemosyne 22 (1969): 389-425. The meaningful/non-meaningful distinction, however, does not seem to be prevalent in early Christian literature, see, e.g., Acts 2:17 (quoting Joel 2:28).
    ${ }^{107} \kappa \alpha i \not \partial \gamma \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ov is missing from $S$ as well as N , but is probably original, cf. Conf. 28:3.
    ${ }^{108}$ The disciples in the First Book of Jeu (40-41, 43, 45-46) answer Jesus "in a single voice" ( $2 \overline{\mathrm{~N}}$ OYCMH $\overline{\mathrm{N} O Y \omega T}$ ); cf. Matthias Klinghardt, "Prayer Formularies for Public Recitation: Their Use and Function in Ancient Religion," Numen 46 (1999): 23 n. 65.
    ${ }^{109}$ Cf. Conf. 23:2; on the burning of magical books in late antiquity, see Daniel Sarefield, "'Burning Knowledge': Studies of Bookburning in Ancient Rome," (Ph.D. diss., Ohio State University, 2004), 73-89, esp. 84-85.

[^51]:    ${ }^{110} \mathrm{P}$ is certainly corrupt here; Zahn (Cyprian von Antiochien, 63 n .1 ) suggested that the object of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ was lost. The text of $S$ is certainly better, but not without its problems; since it
     tantalizing, but the text is unfortunately in lacuna after this point. It seems odd that Aglaïdas would "burn" the sword of his own destruction, but this verb may simply be used here to parallel the burning of Cyprian's magical books and emphasize the "double salvation." The translation is tentative.

